



# Open Space Assessment, Rugby

## Quality Audit Report

Prepared For: Rugby Borough Council

Document Reference: 10811.003

March 2025

Version 3.0

TEP  
401 Faraday Street  
Birchwood Park  
Warrington  
WA3 6GA  
Tel: 01925 844004  
Email: [tep@tep.uk.com](mailto:tep@tep.uk.com)  
Offices in Warrington, Market Harborough, Gateshead, London and Cornwall

Document Title	Quality Audit Report
Document Ref	10811.003
Version	Version 3.0
Prepared For	Rugby Borough Council

Author	Alice Kennedy
Date	March 2025
Checked	Rebecca Martin
Approved	Rebecca Martin

Amendment History					
Version	Date	Modified by	Check/ Approved by	Reason	Status
1.0	10/01/25	AK	RM	Initial draft issue of Chapters 1 - 8.	Superseded.
2.0	28/02/25	IG	SB/RM	Update following comments from RBC.	Superseded.
3.0	12/03/25	SB	RM	Update following comments from RBC.	Issued.

## Contents

1.0	Introduction .....	5
2.0	Approach and Methodology.....	9
3.0	Auditing Local Provision .....	14
4.0	Key Pressures .....	22
5.0	Setting Standards.....	25
6.0	Applying the Standards .....	28
7.0	Opportunities.....	47
8.0	Conclusion .....	52

## Tables

Table 1	Open Space Typologies.....	10
Table 2	Green Flag Award Audit Criteria.....	11
Table 3	Value Audit Criteria .....	12
Table 4	Quality and Value Matrix.....	13
Table 5:	Summary of Sites Not Audited .....	14
Table 6	Overall Quality Scores.....	15
Table 7	Quality Audit Banding by Typology.....	15
Table 8	Overall Value Scores .....	16
Table 9	Value Audit Banding by Typology.....	16
Table 10	Quality and Value Scores Comparison.....	17
Table 11	Amenity Greenspace Quality Scores.....	18
Table 12	Amenity Greenspace Value Scores.....	18
Table 13	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace Quality Scores .....	19
Table 14	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace Value Scores .....	19
Table 15	Parks and Gardens Quality Scores.....	20
Table 16	Parks and Gardens Value Scores.....	20
Table 17	Provision for Children and Young People Quality Scores.....	21
Table 18	Provision for Children and Young People Value Scores .....	21
Table 19	Consultation SWOT Analysis .....	22
Table 20	National Benchmark Standards and Proposed Standards .....	27
Table 21	Number of Sites by Ward Meeting and Failing to Meet Proposed Quality Standard 28	
Table 22	Number of Sites by Ward Meeting and Failing to Meet Proposed Value Standard	29

Table 23 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Admirals and Cawston Ward .....	30
Table 24 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Admirals and Cawston Ward.....	30
Table 25 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Benn Ward .....	31
Table 26 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Benn Ward .....	31
Table 27 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Bilton Ward.....	32
Table 28 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Bilton Ward.....	32
Table 29 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Clifton, Newton and Churchover Ward	33
Table 30 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Clifton, Newton and Churchover Ward	33
Table 31 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Coton and Boughton Ward .....	34
Table 32 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Coton and Boughton Ward .....	34
Table 33 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Dunsmore Ward .....	35
Table 34 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Dunsmore Ward .....	36
Table 35 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Eastlands Ward .....	36
Table 36 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Eastlands Ward .....	37
Table 37 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Hillmorton Ward.....	37
Table 38 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Hillmorton Ward.....	38
Table 39 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Leam Valley Ward .....	38
Table 40 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Leam Valley Ward.....	39
Table 41 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the New Bilton Ward.....	39
Table 42 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the New Bilton Ward.....	40
Table 43 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Newbold and Brownsover Ward .	40
Table 44 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Newbold and Brownsover Ward....	41
Table 45 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Paddox Ward .....	41
Table 46 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Paddox Ward.....	42
Table 47 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Revel and Binley Ward .....	42
Table 48 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Revel and Binley Ward .....	43
Table 49 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Rokeby and Overslade Ward.....	43
Table 50 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Rokeby and Overslade Ward.....	44
Table 51 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Wolston and the Lawfords Ward.	44
Table 52 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Wolston and the Lawfords Ward ...	45
Table 53 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Wolvey and Shilton Ward .....	45
Table 54 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Wolvey and Shilton Ward.....	46

## Figures

Figure 1 Rugby Borough Sub-Areas .....	7
Figure 2 Population of Rugby Borough by 10-year Age Bracket.....	8
Figure 3 Open Space Assessment Method .....	9
Figure 4 Plott Lane Skatepark.....	16
Figure 5 Coombe Abbey Country Park.....	17
Figure 6 Coton Park Central AGS .....	18
Figure 7 Brandon Marsh Nature Reserve.....	19
Figure 8 Fetherston Crescent Recreation Ground.....	20
Figure 9 Dyer's Lane Play Area.....	21

## Drawings

Drawing 1: Rugby Open Spaces (TEP ref: G10811.002.1-17)	
Drawing 2: Rugby Open Spaces Quality Audit (TEP ref: G10811.003.1-17)	
Drawing 3: Rugby Open Spaces Value Audit (TEP ref: G10811.004.1-17)	
Drawing 4: Rugby Indices of Multiple Deprivation and Open Space Quality - National (TEP ref: G10811.007)	
Drawing 5: Rugby Indices of Multiple Deprivation and Open Space Value - National (TEP ref: G10811.009)	

## Appendices

Appendix A: Summary Audit Results	
Appendix B: Site Improvements	

## 1.0 Introduction

### Context

- 1.1 The Environment Partnership (TEP) Limited was commissioned by Rugby Borough Council in November 2024, to produce an Open Space Quality Assessment for Rugby Borough.
- 1.2 This Quality Assessment supports the wider Open Space Study which is being prepared by Rugby Borough Council.
- 1.3 Open space is important because of its valuable contribution to quality of life, health and the economy. Furthermore, open spaces provide green infrastructure (GI) benefits such as mitigating climate change, flood alleviation, and ecosystem services. The provision of these facilities in our cities, towns and villages is of high importance to a sustainable future and is embedded in national planning policy.
- 1.4 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), updated in December 2024, recognises the opportunities that appropriately located and well-designed open spaces can provide in paragraph 103:

*" Access to a network of high-quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and physical activity is important for the health and well-being of communities and can deliver wider benefits for nature and support efforts to address climate change. Planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the need for open space, sport and recreation facilities (including quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses) and opportunities for new provision. Information gained from the assessments should be used to determine what open space, sport and recreational provision is needed, which plans should then seek to accommodate."*

- 1.5 Open space provision crosses many other aspects of the NPPF including:
  - Promoting healthy and safe communities;
  - Achieving sustainable development;
  - Supporting a prosperous rural economy;
  - Promoting sustainable transport;
  - Making effective use of land;
  - Achieving well-designed places;
  - Conserving and enhancing the natural and historic environment; and
  - Meeting the challenges of climate change, flooding and coastal change.

## Scope

- 1.6 This Quality Assessment will form part of the evidence base for Rugby Borough Council's (hereby referred to as 'the Council') emerging Local Plan.
- 1.7 The Quality Assessment provides robust and up to date information concerning the quality of open spaces across the Borough irrespective of ownership up to the Local Plan end date of 2045. It will set a quality standard for open space and provide an evidence base so that poor quality open spaces can be identified and improved, and so that open spaces receive sufficient developer contributions to provide for new communities.
- 1.8 Appendix A provides a summary of audit results, and Appendix B provides site-specific recommendations. An Index of Maps is also provided at the end of the report, including:
- Drawing 1: Rugby Open Spaces (TEP ref: G10811.002.1-17);
  - Drawing 2: Rugby Open Spaces Quality Audit (TEP ref: G10811.003.1-17);
  - Drawing 3: Rugby Open Spaces Value Audit (TEP ref: G10811.004.1-17);
  - Drawing 4: Rugby Indices of Multiple Deprivation and Open Space Quality - National (TEP ref: G10811.007); and
  - Drawing 5: Rugby Indices of Multiple Deprivation and Open Space Value National (TEP ref: G10811.009).

## Analysis Area

- 1.9 This Quality Assessment looks at the overall quality provision of open space across the Borough, and each of the electoral ward boundaries which is consistent with the wider Open Space Study currently being prepared by the Council.
- 1.10 Sub areas are shown on Figure 1, overleaf.






Figure 1 Rugby Borough Sub-Areas



1.11 Population data taken from Census 2021 (Office for National Statistics<sup>1</sup>) notes the current population in Rugby Borough at 114,366 people. The highest 10-year age bracket is age 31-40.



*Figure 2 Population of Rugby Borough by 10-year Age Bracket*

1.12 The average age in Rugby Borough is 40 years which is the same as the average for England<sup>2</sup>.

1.13 The population increase between the 2011 and 2021 Census in Rugby was the highest in the West Midlands, with a population growth of 14.3% this is higher than the overall West Midlands population increase of 6.2%<sup>3</sup>.

1.14 Drawing 4 presents the Indices of Multiple Deprivation across the Borough. There are areas of the Borough within Rugby and to the west of the town which are some of the most deprived areas nationally.


<sup>1</sup> Age by single year - Office for National Statistics

<sup>2</sup> How life has changed in Rugby: Census 2021

<sup>3</sup> Rugby population change, Census 2021 – ONS

## 2.0 Approach and Methodology

- 2.1 This Quality Assessment is in line with the NPPF (updated December 2024) and Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) for Open Space, Sports and Recreation Facilities, Public Rights of Way and Local Green Space, which have replaced Planning Policy Guidance Note 17: Planning for Open Space Sport and Recreation (2002) and its companion guide, Assessing Needs and Opportunities: A Companion Guide to Planning Policy Guidance 17 (2002)<sup>4</sup>.
- 2.2 Whilst the companion guide to Planning Policy Guidance Note 17 has been superseded, it is acknowledged that the principles and approach within the guidance have not been replaced and it is still relevant to apply the methodology to assess needs for open space provision.
- 2.3 The Quality Assessment follows stages 2, 3 and 4 from the Companion Guide to PPG17 for Quality only. The approach is outlined in the process below.



*Figure 3 Open Space Assessment Method*

- 2.4 The Quality Assessment provides robust and up to date information concerning the quality and value of open space in the Borough, irrespective of ownership.

### Typologies

- 2.5 This Quality Assessment covers 267 sites selected by the Council for auditing. Table 1 provides a description of typologies included in this Quality Assessment. Allotments and Community Gardens, Cemeteries and Churchyards, Civic Spaces and Playing Pitches are excluded from the Quality Assessment.

<sup>4</sup> Assessing needs and opportunities: A companion guide to PPG17

Table 1 Open Space Typologies

Open Space Typology	Description
Amenity Greenspace	Informal recreation green spaces and village greens. Most commonly, but not exclusively, found in housing areas.
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Country parks, nature reserves, publicly accessible woodlands, urban forestry, scrub, grasslands, wetlands and wastelands.
Parks and Gardens	Urban parks and formal gardens. Parks usually contain a variety of facilities and may contain one or more open space typologies.
Provision for Children and Young People	Areas designed primarily for play and social interaction, including equipped play facilities for children and teenagers.

## Quality Audits

- 2.6 The Council decided it was not possible to have all identified sites of open space surveyed due to resource limitations. Therefore, 267 open spaces were selected to receive a Green Flag Award style quality audit, with the intention of focusing on key publicly accessible open spaces, barring playing pitches, which have already been assessed as part of a separate study. It was agreed with the project team that the following open spaces would be subject to quality audits:
- All Amenity Greenspace which contain or is adjacent to Provision for Children and Young People;
  - An additional 11 sites of Amenity Greenspace above 1ha, determined to be strategically important by the Council's Parks department;
  - All sites of Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace above 1ha;
  - All Parks and Gardens; and
  - All sites of Provision for Children and Young People.
- 2.7 The Green Flag Award is widely recognised as a quality benchmark for parks and open spaces, is advocated by the Fields in Trust (FiT) and used by many other local authorities as part of their Open Space Studies.
- 2.8 The assessment for the quality audits adapts the Green Flag Award criteria (described in Table 2 below) based on those that can be applied to all open space typologies. This allows a quality benchmark to be applied to all open spaces across the Borough.

Table 2 Green Flag Award Audit Criteria

Green Flag Award Criteria	Description
Welcoming Place	Signage
	Entrances
	Safe Access
	Access for All Abilities
	Boundaries
	Car Parking/ Cycling Provision
Healthy, Safe and Secure	Facilities and Activities
	Clear Sightlines
	Shelter
	Lighting
Well Maintained and Clean	Bins, Dog Bins and Recycling
	Overall Site Cleanliness
	Hard Landscape Features
	Buildings
	Soft Landscape Features
	Site Furniture

- 2.9 The quality audit does not attempt to 'judge' all sites as to whether they would pass or fail the Green Flag Award. This would not be appropriate due to the types and nature of the sites; the disproportionate amount of time needed to spend assessing each site in full; and the information available to undertake the assessment. The full Green Flag Award process involves reviewing a management plan for each site, and undertaking a site visit with site managers, key stakeholders and the local community.
- 2.10 Not all open spaces are within the Council's ownership and therefore the Council does not have control over the quality of these open spaces.
- 2.11 The bandings for the open space quality audits are as follows:
- Excellent - 90% to 100%;
  - Very good - 80% to 89%;
  - Good - 70% to 79%;
  - Fair - 50% to 69%; and
  - Poor - 0% to 49%.
- 2.12 The full site quality audit results are included as Appendix A. Full details of audit results including accompanying notes have been provided to the Council.

## Value Audits

2.13 The open space value assessment is based on Assessing Needs and Opportunities: A Companion Guide to Planning Policy Guidance 17 (2002)<sup>5</sup>. Whilst PPG17 has been superseded by the NPPF 2024, there has been no supporting guidance published to supersede Assessing Needs and Opportunities: A Companion Guide to Planning Policy Guidance 17 (2002)<sup>5</sup>. Therefore, this is the most up to date guidance for value assessment of open spaces.

2.14 Table 3 details the value criteria used for the value assessment.

*Table 3 Value Audit Criteria*

Value	Value Criteria
Context Value	Value as a cycle or pedestrian route
	Value in terms of a linked series of green or hard spaces
	Value in terms of a linked openness in a densely developed area
	Value in terms of providing a setting for buildings (e.g. Georgian square)
Historical/Heritage Value	Value as a designed landscape
	Value of historic buildings within the space
	Value of other historical features (e.g. statues, fountains, headstones)
Contribution to Local Amenity, Vitality and Sense of Place	Contribution to the appearance of the neighbourhood
	Evidence of use for events
	Value as a noise buffer
	Value as a visual screen or buffer
	Value in terms of 'sense of place'
	Value in terms of 'business' for social interaction
	Value in terms of local air quality and amelioration of pollution
	Visual attractiveness
	Proximity to hospital/health centre/school/other community hub
Recreation Value	Value for community events
	Value in terms of health benefits (e.g. jogging, health walks)
	Value of informal recreation opportunities (e.g. walking, relaxation)
Play Value	Value in terms of variety of finishes and experiences

<sup>5</sup> Assessing needs and opportunities: A companion guide to PPG17



Value	Value Criteria
	Value of space for adventure play
	Value of space for kickabout
	Value of space for seeing birds and animals
Ecological/Biodiversity Value	Nature conservation designation
	Value as a green corridor for wildlife
	Value for public enjoyment of nature
	Value of habitats within the space (including water)
	Value of trees to the neighbourhood
	Buildings have potential for green roofs/walls
	Buildings have potential for rainwater harvesting

2.15 The bandings for the open space value audits are as follows:

- High - 60% to 100%;
- Medium - 40% to 59%; and
- Low - 0% to 39%.

## Quality and Value Matrix

2.16 The value of a site, in conjunction with the quality, can be used to guide planning decisions about the future of the site as shown in Table 4.

*Table 4 Quality and Value Matrix*

<p>Poor Quality High Value</p> <p>High value sites that are poor quality should look to be enhanced in terms of their quality</p>	<p>Good Quality High Value</p> <p>Ideally all spaces should fall into this category, and decisions focused on protection of the best sites</p>
<p>Poor Quality Low Value</p> <p>Where possible look to enhance quality and value, or review if sites are surplus to requirements</p>	<p>Good Quality Low Value</p> <p>Where possible look to enhance value in terms of the functions the sites provide, or consider if value could increase by a change of use</p>

## 3.0 Auditing Local Provision

- 3.1 This chapter presents the results of the quality assessment for the Borough.
- 3.2 279 sites were selected for auditing by the Council at the project outset. Of these sites, 267 were audited. 10 sites were not audited because they were inaccessible. An additional 2 sites were not audited as the geometries will be merged with adjacent sites, as such the quality and value scores have been captured as part of the wider site.
- 3.3 Table 5 provides the name of each site that was not audited, its typology, and a reason for not being audited.

*Table 5: Summary of Sites Not Audited*

Site Name	Typology	Reason for Not Being Audited
Sainsbury's Dunchurch Road Semi-Natural Space	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Site was enclosed by timber fencing with no obvious entrance.
Crick Road Semi-Natural Space	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Site was enclosed with fencing. Site entrance was a locked vehicle gate which did not appear publicly accessible.
West Coast Lane Semi-Natural Space	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Site inaccessible due to a combination of adjacent housing and Heras fencing at the boundary.
Leamington Hastings Natural Space	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Site entrance was a locked vehicle gate topped with barbed wire, with no pedestrian access points identified.
Town Thorns Wood	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Gates were locked on arrival.
Chapel Wood	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Woodland was marked as private at its entrance. Site located some distance away from any road network.
Monks Kirby Play Area	Provision for Children and Young People	Site audited as part of Monks Kirby Park site.
Hobeley Furze & Cotton Furze	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Gates at the site were locked on arrival.
All Oaks Wood	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Gates at the site were locked on arrival.
Wolvey Wetlands	Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	Gates at the site were locked on arrival.

Site Name	Typology	Reason for Not Being Audited
Bretford Play Area	Provision for Children and Young People	There is no Provision for Children and Young People facility at this site. Site geometry has been merged with Bretford Recreational Ground Park.
Warwick Road Recreation Ground	Parks and Gardens	Gates at the site were locked on arrival.

## Quality

- 3.4 Quality audit results are shown on Rugby Borough Open Space Quality Overview (TEP ref: G10811.003.1). The range of quality scores are provided in Table 6, and the quality scores for each typology are in Table 7.

*Table 6 Overall Quality Scores*

Quality Banding	Number of Sites	Percentage of Sites
Excellent	4	2%
Very Good	51	19%
Good	109	41%
Fair	94	35%
Poor	9	3%
Total	267	100%

*Table 7 Quality Audit Banding by Typology*

Open Space Typology	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
Amenity Greenspace	0	2	21	14	1
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	5	18	21	6
Parks and Gardens	1	19	22	19	1
Provision for Children and Young People	3	25	48	40	1
Total	4	51	109	94	9

## Key Findings on Quality

- 3.5 The range of quality scores across all sites audited is 35 to 94.
- 3.6 Coombe Abbey Country Park, a Parks and Gardens site in the Revel and Binley Woods ward and Plott Lane Skatepark, a Provision for Children and Young People site in the Dunsmore ward, both achieved the highest quality scores of 94.
- 3.7 Newbold Lime Works, a Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace site in the Newbold and Brownsover ward, had the lowest quality score of 35.
- 3.8 The average quality score was 71 which is within the Good quality banding.




Figure 4 Plott Lane Skatepark

## Value

- 3.9 The range of value audit scores is shown on Rugby Borough Open Space Value Overview (TEP ref: G10811.004.1). The range of value scores are provided in Table 8 and the value scores for each typology are in Table 9.

Table 8 Overall Value Scores

Value Banding	Number of Sites	Percentage of Sites
High	35	13%
Medium	85	32%
Low	147	55%
Total	267	100%

Table 9 Value Audit Banding by Typology

Open Space Typology	High	Medium	Low
Amenity Greenspace	3	15	20
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	14	27	9
Parks and Gardens	14	23	25

Open Space Typology	High	Medium	Low
Provision for Children and Young People	4	20	93
Total	35	85	147

### Key Findings on Value

- 3.10 The range of value scores across all sites audited is 14 to 97.
- 3.11 Coombe Abbey Country Park, a Parks and Gardens site in the Revel and Binley Woods ward achieved the highest Value score of 97. Coombe Abbey Country Park is also the highest scoring site for quality.
- 3.12 Frobisher Road Park, a Parks and Gardens site in the Admirals and Cawston ward, had the lowest value score of 14.
- 3.13 The average value score was 41 which is within the Medium value banding.



Figure 5 Coombe Abbey Country Park

### Overall Quality and Value Analysis

- 3.14 Table 10 shows the range of quality and value scores by typology.
- 3.15 The widest range of quality scores is within the Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace typology. The widest range of value scores is within the Parks and Gardens typology.

Table 10 Quality and Value Scores Comparison

Open Space Typology	Sites Audited	Range of Quality Scores	Range of Value Scores
Amenity Greenspace	38	48 - 83	19 - 72
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	50	35 - 89	26 - 80
Parks and Gardens	62	49 - 94	14 - 97
Provision for Children and Young People	117	42 - 94	15 - 73



Open Space Typology	Sites Audited	Range of Quality Scores	Range of Value Scores
Total	267	-	-

## Amenity Greenspace

3.16 Amenity Greenspaces are informal green spaces providing opportunities for informal recreation for residents and workers whilst enhancing the appearance of the area.

3.17 Quality and value scores for audited Amenity Greenspace are summarised in Appendix A in order of their quality score.

3.18 Aqua Place AGS, in the Newbold and Brownsover ward, achieved the highest quality score of all the Amenity Greenspaces audited with a score of 83. Butler's Leap AGS, also in the Newbold and Brownsover ward, was the lowest quality scoring Amenity Greenspace with a score of 48.

3.19 Coton Park Central AGS, in the Clifton, Newton and Churchover ward, achieved the highest value score of all the Amenity Greenspaces with a score of 72. Lennon Close, in the Hillmorton ward, was the lowest value scoring Amenity Greenspace with a score of 19.



Figure 6 Coton Park Central AGS

3.20 Tables 11 and 12 show the breakdown of quality and value scores for Amenity Greenspace.

Table 11 Amenity Greenspace Quality Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
70.7	Good	1	14	21	2	0

Table 12 Amenity Greenspace Value Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Low	Medium	High
40.5	Medium	20	15	3

## Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace

- 3.21 Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace includes country parks, nature reserves, publicly accessible woodlands, urban forestry, scrub, grasslands, wetlands and wastelands.
- 3.22 Quality and value scores for audited Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace are summarised in Appendix A in order of their quality score.
- 3.23 Brandon Marsh Nature Reserve, in the Dunsmore, and Wolston and the Lawfords ward, achieved the highest quality and value scores of the Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspaces of 89 and 80, respectively. Newbold Lime Works, in the Newbold and Brownsover ward, was the lowest quality scoring Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace with a score of 35.
- 3.24 Hillmorton Lane Nature Space, in the Clifton, Newton and Churchover ward, was the lowest value scoring Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace with a score of 26.
- 3.25 Tables 13 and 14 shows the breakdown of quality and value scores for Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace



Figure 7 Brandon Marsh Nature Reserve

Table 13 Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace Quality Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
65.8	Fair	6	21	18	5	0

Table 14 Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace Value Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Low	Medium	High
52.9	Medium	9	27	14

## Parks and Gardens

- 3.26 Parks and Gardens are multi-functional spaces, providing a range of facilities including landscaped gardens, playing fields, play areas and facilities for outdoor sport provision.

3.27 Quality and value scores for Parks and Gardens are summarised in Appendix A in order of their quality score.

3.28 Coombe Abbey Country Park, in the Revel and Binley Woods ward, achieved the highest quality and value score of all Parks and Gardens, scoring 94 for quality and 97 for value. Fetherston Crescent Recreation Ground, in the Dunsmore ward, received the second highest quality score of 89.



Figure 8 Fetherston Crescent Recreation Ground

3.29 Harborough Magna Recreation Ground in the Revel and Binley Woods ward received the lowest quality scoring of the Parks and Gardens, with a score of 49.

3.30 Frobisher Road Park, in the Admirals and Cawston ward, received the lowest value score of all Parks and Gardens with a score of 14.

3.31 Tables 15 and 16 show the breakdown of quality and value scores for Parks and Gardens.

Table 15 Parks and Gardens Quality Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
73.7	Good	1	19	22	19	1

Table 16 Parks and Gardens Value Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Low	Medium	High
46.2	Medium	25	23	14

## Provision for Children and Young People

3.32 Provision for Children and Young People are areas designed primarily for play and social interaction involving children and young people, such as equipped play areas, multi-use games areas and skate parks.

3.33 Quality and value scores for audited Provision for Children and Young People are summarised in Appendix A in order of their quality score.

3.34 Plott Lane Skatepark in the Dunsmore ward, achieved the highest quality score of the Provision for Children and Young People sites, scoring 94. Nelson Way Play Areas, in the Admirals and Cawston ward, was the lowest quality and value scoring Provision for Children and Young People site with a quality score of 42 and a value score of 15.

3.35 Dyer's Lane Play Area, in the Wolston and the Lawfords ward, had the highest value score of the Provision for Children and Young People sites, with a score of 73.

3.36 Tables 17 and 18 shows the breakdown of quality and value scores for Provision for Children and Young People.



Figure 9 Dyer's Lane Play Area

Table 17 Provision for Children and Young People Quality Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
72.4	Good	1	40	48	25	3

Table 18 Provision for Children and Young People Value Scores

Average Score	Average Banding	Low	Medium	High
32.2	Low	93	20	4



## 4.0 Key Pressures

### Consultation

- 4.1 In December 2024, TEP met with key Officers from the Council's Parks and Open Spaces department to understand pressures faced by open spaces in the Borough. The results of a SWOT analysis are presented in Table 19.

*Table 19 Consultation SWOT Analysis*

<b>Strengths</b>	<b>Weaknesses</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ 5 Green Flag Award parks</li> <li>■ Initiatives such as the butterfly bank at Centenary Park and first example of green hairstreak butterfly</li> <li>■ Partnerships with volunteer groups e.g. butterfly conservation</li> <li>■ In-bloom performance</li> <li>■ Qualifications, skillset and passion of Council team</li> <li>■ Success in securing external funding</li> <li>■ Leadership team recognise importance of open space</li> <li>■ Corporate Strategy has a focus on biodiversity, wellbeing and sustainability</li> <li>■ Existing health and wellbeing activities e.g. parkrun</li> <li>■ Trial area for biodiversity net gain (BNG) (Warwickshire)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Awareness of Open Space vision and strategy</li> <li>■ Existing supplementary planning document (SPD) timescales</li> <li>■ Disconnect between RBC portfolio teams</li> <li>■ Lack of awareness of RBC structure</li> <li>■ Lack of interpretation on site</li> <li>■ Key facilities lacking - provision for all abilities in south Rugby</li> <li>■ Existing resource - revenue and capital reduced budgets</li> <li>■ Engagement with the community - lack of education around nature</li> <li>■ Benn ward and New Bilton wards - action groups set up as priority - lower quantity</li> </ul>
<b>Opportunities</b>	<b>Threats</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Engagement and education</li> <li>■ Generating buy-in to parks and open space</li> <li>■ Partnership working with Garden Organic for community involvement</li> <li>■ Connectivity - for people and nature</li> <li>■ Use for community engagement /space for communities</li> <li>■ Town park ranger role to be developed</li> <li>■ Uplifted budgets- enough for capital replacement works</li> <li>■ Upskilling new staff - succession planning with staff, recruitment measures</li> <li>■ Enforcement</li> <li>■ BNG Funding opportunity</li> <li>■ New technologies - automated ways of working</li> <li>■ Appropriate design</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Funding</li> <li>■ Unrealistic expectations</li> <li>■ Capacity issues</li> <li>■ An aging workforce and difficulty to recruit</li> <li>■ Anti-social behaviour hotspot areas</li> <li>■ Post-2022 vandalism</li> <li>■ Lack of policing</li> <li>■ A rise in discrimination against disabled users, sexism and racism</li> <li>■ Lack of space for opportunities for habitat creation, connectivity and multifunctional space</li> <li>■ BNG - lack of understanding and focus on quantity over quality</li> <li>■ Climate change - milder, wetter, droughts</li> </ul>



## Audit Summary

4.2 The following paragraphs provide an insight from the results of the quality audits.

### Strengths and Opportunities

4.3 262 of the sites audited were freely accessible or had de facto public access, this equates to 98% of the sites audited being accessible to the general public.

4.4 The majority of sites audited scored over 80 for Litter and Waste Management, this indicates that the provision of bins and levels of litter are, on average, satisfactory.

4.5 61% of sites are within a quality banding of good, very good or excellent.

4.6 Auditors identified 11 sites that had potential to be Green Flag Award sites in addition to those already with a Green Flag Award, as outlined below. This highlights a number of exemplar sites within the Borough:

- Eden Park AGS;
- Brandon Marsh Nature Reserve;
- Draycote Water (both the Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace and Park and Garden);
- Swift Valley Nature Reserve;
- Brinklow Castle;
- Whitehall Recreation Ground;
- GEC Recreation Ground;
- Binley Woods Recreation Ground;
- Monks Kirby Park; and
- Whinfield Park.

### Weaknesses and Threats

4.7 Over half of sites were deemed to have low value and over a third of sites (39%) were in the fair or poor-quality banding. This indicates that a large number of sites require improvements. Auditors recorded a large number of improvements required across all typologies, with Parks and Gardens having the most suggested improvements.

4.8 Auditors identified a need for improved signage across all open space typologies.

4.9 Only 4 sites of the 267 sites audited had toilets, these were within the Parks and Gardens typology. A lack of toilets often restricts the use of a space for park users.

4.10 Where scored and applicable, 25% of sites scored under 49 for Conservation and Heritage indicating that this criteria needs attention for improvements.

## 5.0 Setting Standards

- 5.1 This Assessment is written in line with the NPPF 2024 and PPG for Open Space, Sports and Recreation Facilities, Public Rights of Way and Local Green Space which have replaced Planning Policy Guidance Note 17: Planning for Open Space Sport and Recreation (2002) and its companion guide, Assessing Needs and Opportunities: A Companion Guide to Planning Policy Guidance 17 (2002)<sup>6</sup>.
- 5.2 Whilst the companion guide to Planning Policy Guidance Note 17 has been superseded, it is acknowledged that the principles and approach within the guidance have not been replaced and it is still relevant to apply the methodology to assess needs for open space provision.
- 5.3 Recommended standards of provision are based on local assessment and analysis and may be the same as a national recommended standard, if appropriate. Where current levels of provision do not meet a national recommended standard, this should be viewed as a minimum. Equally, the existing provision may already meet the future recommended standard and to lose it would significantly change the natural character of the area. By combining the existing level of provision with local views on its adequacy, it is possible to develop a range of new provision standards.

### Existing Standards

- 5.4 The proposed quality standards for new developments stated in the Open Space, Playing Pitch and Sports Facilities Study 2015 was Green Flag Standard for Parks and Gardens, Amenity Greenspace, and Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace. For Children's Play and Provision for Young People, the quality standard proposed was:
- New LEAPs and NEAPs should meet the Fields In Trust standards as relevant to the individual site; and
  - New youth provision should reflect current best practice, and also take into account the needs expressed by local young people.
- 5.5 The above proposal was not included within the Local Plan 2011-2031<sup>7</sup>. There is currently no quality or value standard for the Borough's open spaces within the Local Plan 2011-2031<sup>7</sup>.
- 5.6 Rugby Borough Council currently has a provision standard for open space which is set out in Policy HS4: Open Space, Sports Facilities and Recreation within the Local Plan 2011-2031<sup>7</sup>.

---

<sup>6</sup> Assessing needs and opportunities: A companion guide to PPG17

<sup>7</sup> Rugby Borough Council Local Plan

- 5.7 The Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) (2012)<sup>8</sup> provides advice on how the amount of open space required by a developer may be calculated.

## Proposed Standards

### Quality

- 5.8 The proposed quality standard for open space across the Borough is based on the Green Flag Award criteria (used to complete the quality audits). A Green Flag would be awarded to a site which passes a full assessment award criteria which is based on official standards set and recognised in the United Kingdom and internationally.
- 5.9 The Green Flag Award Raising the Standard manual has eight sections of assessment. For the purposes of this Assessment, Section 1: A Welcoming Place, Section 2: Healthy, Safe and Secure and Section 3: Well Maintained, were used to audit each site and assess each open space typology.
- 5.10 The assessment criteria selected is appropriate to apply to all typologies of open space. Section 1 assesses the signage, accessibility for a wide range of visitors, entrance presentation and the maintenance and definition of boundaries. Section 2 takes into consideration the safety and security of facilities, shelter from the weather, lighting and clear sightlines. Section 3 considers the overall cleanliness of the site and the soft and hard landscaping features present.
- 5.11 The bandings for the quality audits are as follows:
- Excellent - 90% to 100%
  - Very Good - 80% to 89%
  - Good - 70% to 79%
  - Fair - 50% to 69%
  - Poor - 0% to 49%
- 5.12 A summary of the quality audit scores is provided in Chapter 4, which has been considered as part of the proposed quality standards.
- 5.13 **The proposed quality standard of 70% ensures that all sites achieve at least a Good quality score.**
- 5.14 The national benchmark standards and proposed standard are set out in Table 20.

---

<sup>8</sup> Adopted Planning Obligations SPD 2012

Table 20 National Benchmark Standards and Proposed Standards

Open Space Typology	National Benchmark Standard	Proposed Standard
Parks and Gardens	Parks to be of Green Flag status. Appropriately landscaped. Positive management. Provision of footpaths. Designed so as to be free of the fear of harm or crime.	The national benchmark based on the Green Flag Award should be applied so that sites obtain a Quality Score of 70% to ensure all sites achieve a Good Quality Score or above.
Amenity Greenspace		
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace		
Provision for Children and Young People	Quality appropriate to the intended level of performance, designed to appropriate technical standards. Located where they are of most value to the community to be served.	

## Value

- 5.15 The proposed value standard for open spaces in the Borough is based on Assessing Needs and Opportunities: A Companion Guide to Planning Policy Guidance 17 (2002)<sup>9</sup>.
- 5.16 The bandings for the open space value audits are as follows:
- High - 60 to 100%
  - Medium - 40 to 59%
  - Low - 0 to 39%
- 5.17 **The proposed Value Standard of 40% ensures that all sites achieve a Medium value score.**

<sup>9</sup> Assessing needs and opportunities: A companion guide to PPG17

## 6.0 Applying the Standards

### Applying the Quality Standard

- 6.1 When applying the quality standard of 70% the majority of sites (61%) meet the standard whilst just over one-third (39%) fail to meet the quality standard. The breakdown of sites meeting and failing to meet the quality standard is shown in Table 21 by ward.

*Table 21 Number of Sites by Ward Meeting and Failing to Meet Proposed Quality Standard*

<b>Ward</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Admirals and Cawston	10	53%	9	47%
Benn	5	56%	4	44%
Bilton	7	70%	3	30%
Clifton, Newton and Churchover	4	25%	12	75%
Coton and Boughton	8	40%	12	60%
Dunsmore	22	81%	5	19%
Eastlands	9	69%	4	31%
Hillmorton	14	100%	0	0%
Leam Valley	6	67%	3	33%
New Bilton	5	36%	9	64%
Newbold and Brownsover	16	46%	19	54%
Paddox	7	88%	1	12%
Revel and Binley Woods	15	56%	12	44%
Rokeby and Overslade	10	83%	2	17%
Wolston and the Lawfords	21	72%	8	28%
Wolvey and Shilton	5	100%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>39%</b>

### Applying the Value Standard

- 6.2 When applying the value standard of 40%, less than half (45%) of sites currently meet the proposed value standard whilst just over a half of all audited sites (55%)



fail to meet the value standard. The breakdown of sites meeting and failing to meet the value standard is shown in Table 22 by ward.

*Table 22 Number of Sites by Ward Meeting and Failing to Meet Proposed Value Standard*

<b>Ward</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Admirals and Cawston	3	16%	16	84%
Benn	3	33%	6	67%
Bilton	1	10%	9	90%
Clifton, Newton and Churchover	7	44%	9	56%
Coton and Boughton	13	65%	7	35%
Dunsmore	16	59%	11	41%
Eastlands	2	15%	11	85%
Hillmorton	4	29%	10	71%
Leam Valley	0	0%	9	100%
New Bilton	3	21%	11	79%
Newbold and Brownsover	17	49%	18	51%
Paddox	3	38%	5	63%
Revel and Binley Woods	23	85%	4	15%
Rokeby and Overslade	1	8%	11	92%
Wolston and the Lawfords	19	66%	10	34%
Wolvey and Shilton	5	100%	0	0%
Total	120	45%	147	55%

## Applying the Standards by Ward

### Admirals and Cawston

- 6.3 Tables 23 and 24 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 23 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Admirals and Cawston Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	40%	3	60%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Parks and Gardens	1	33%	2	67%
Provision for Children and Young People	7	70%	3	30%
<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>47%</b>

- 6.4 Of the 19 sites audited in the ward, over half meet the proposed quality standard. Across all four typologies audited there are sites which currently fail to meet the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 24 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Admirals and Cawston Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	40%	3	60%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Parks and Gardens	1	33%	2	67%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	10	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>84%</b>

- 6.5 Of the 19 sites audited in the ward, less than a quarter of the sites (16%) meet the proposed value standard. None of the Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace, and Provision for Children and Young People sites meet the proposed value standard. Across all four typologies audited there are sites which currently fail to meet the proposed value standard.

## **Benn**

- 6.6 Tables 25 and 26 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 25 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Benn Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	4	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	1	20%	4	80%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>44%</b>

6.7 Of the 9 sites audited in the ward, over half of the sites meet the proposed quality standard. No Amenity Greenspaces or Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspaces were audited. All Parks and Gardens achieved the proposed quality standard.

6.8 Most of the Provision for Children and Young People spaces audited fall short of the proposed standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 26 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Benn Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	3	75%	1	25%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	5	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>67%</b>

6.9 Of the 9 sites audited in the ward, one third of the sites (33%) meet the proposed value standard. No Amenity Greenspaces or Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspaces were audited. None of the audited Provision for Children and Young People sites meet the proposed value standard.

## **Bilton**

6.10 Tables 27 and 28 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 27 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Bilton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	100%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	1	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	2	67%	1	33%
Provision for Children and Young People	2	50%	2	50%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>30%</b>

- 6.11 Of the 10 sites audited in the ward, almost three quarters meet the proposed quality standard. All audited Amenity Greenspace and Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace sites achieved the proposed quality standard. 3 sites (30%) fall short of the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 28 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Bilton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0	2	100%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	1	100%	0	0
Parks and Gardens	0	0%	3	100%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	4	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>90%</b>

- 6.12 Of the 10 sites audited in the ward, only one site, a Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace site meets the proposed value standard. 9 sites fall short of the proposed value standard and could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Clifton, Newton and Churchover**

- 6.13 Tables 29 and 30 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 29 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Clifton, Newton and Churchover Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	67%	1	33%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	6	100%
Parks and Gardens	0	0%	1	100%
Provision for Children and Young People	2	33%	4	67%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>75%</b>

- 6.14 Of the 16 sites audited in the ward, one quarter meet the proposed quality standard. The audited Park and Garden site did not achieve the proposed quality standard. 12 sites (75% of sites audited) fall short of the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 30 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Clifton, Newton and Churchover Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	67%	1	33%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	4	67%	2	33%
Parks and Gardens	1	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	6	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>56%</b>

- 6.15 Less than half of sites audited (44%) in the ward achieve the proposed value standard. 9 sites fall short of the proposed value standard, including each of the Provision for Children and Young People sites. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Coton and Boughton**

- 6.16 Tables 31 and 32 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 31 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Coton and Boughton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	1	17%	5	83%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	1	25%	3	75%
Parks and Gardens	1	33%	2	67%
Provision for Children and Young People	5	71%	2	29%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>60%</b>

- 6.17 Quality audits were undertaken at 20 sites in the ward, with less than half of the sites (40%) achieving the proposed quality standard. Most sites which meet the quality standard fall into the Provision for Children and Young People typology. 12 sites (60% of sites audited) fall short of the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 32 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Coton and Boughton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	5	83%	1	17%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	4	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	3	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	1	14%	6	86%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>35%</b>

- 6.18 Over half of sites audited in the ward including each audited Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace and Parks and Gardens currently achieve the proposed value standard. 7 sites fall short of the proposed value standard, the majority of which are Provision for Children and Young People sites. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced by improving the quality of play provision and increasing multi-functionality.



## Dunsmore

- 6.19 Tables 33 and 34 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 33 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Dunsmore Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	4	57%	3	43%
Parks and Gardens	7	87%	1	13%
Provision for Children and Young People	11	100%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>19%</b>

- 6.20 Quality audits were undertaken at 27 sites in the ward, more than three quarters of the sites achieved the proposed quality standard. Each of the audited Provision for Children and Young People sites achieve the proposed quality standard. 5 sites (19% of sites audited) fall short of the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 34 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Dunsmore Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	6	86%	1	14%
Parks and Gardens	5	63%	3	37%
Provision for Children and Young People	5	45%	6	55%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>41%</b>

- 6.21 Over half of sites audited in the ward including most of the audited Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace currently achieve the proposed value standard. 11 sites fall short of the proposed value standard, the majority of which are Provision for Children and Young People sites. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

## **Eastlands**

- 6.22 Tables 35 and 36 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 35 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Eastlands Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	2	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	2	67%	1	33%
Provision for Children and Young People	5	71%	2	29%
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>31%</b>

- 6.23 Quality audits were undertaken at 13 sites in the ward, 9 of which achieved the proposed quality standard. Each of the audited Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace sites achieve the proposed quality standard. 4 sites (31% of sites

audited) fall short of the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 36 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Eastlands Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	2	100%
Parks and Gardens	2	67%	1	33%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	7	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>85%</b>

- 6.24 Of the 13 sites audited, only 2 sites (15%) meet the proposed value standard in the ward, both of these sites are Parks and Gardens. 11 sites did not meet the proposed value standard including each of the Amenity Greenspace, Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace and Provision for Children and Young People sites. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

## **Hillmorton**

- 6.25 Tables 37 and 38 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 37 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Hillmorton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	1	100%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	4	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	4	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	5	100%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>

- 6.26 Quality audits were undertaken at 14 sites in the ward. All sites meet the proposed quality standard, however improvements to sites have been identified. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 38 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Hillmorton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	3	75%	1	25%
Parks and Gardens	1	25%	3	75%
Provision for Children and Young People	5	100%	0	0%
Total	9	64%	5	36%

- 6.27 Of the 14 sites audited, over half (64%) meet the proposed value standard, and unlike the majority of the other wards, all Provision for Children and Young People sites meet the proposed value standard. 5 sites did not meet the proposed value standard. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Leam Valley**

- 6.28 Tables 39 and 40 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 39 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Leam Valley Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Parks and Gardens	3	75%	1	25%
Provision for Children and Young People	3	75%	1	25%
Total	6	67%	3	33%

- 6.29 Quality audits were undertaken at 9 sites in the ward, 6 of the sites achieved the proposed quality standard, including 3 Park and Garden and 3 Provision for

Children and Young people sites. 3 sites (33%) fall short of the proposed quality standard including the Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 40 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Leam Valley Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Parks and Gardens	0	0%	4	100%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	4	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100%</b>

6.30 None of the 9 audited sites currently meet the proposed value standard. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **New Bilton**

6.31 Tables 41 and 42 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 41 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the New Bilton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Parks and Gardens	2	33%	4	67%
Provision for Children and Young People	3	43%	4	57%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>64%</b>

6.32 Quality audits were undertaken at 14 sites in the ward, 5 of the sites achieved the proposed quality standard, including 2 Park and Garden and 3 Provision for Children and Young People sites. 9 sites (64%) fall short of the proposed quality

standard including the Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 42 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the New Bilton Ward*

Typology	No. Sites Meeting Value Standard	% Sites Meeting Value Standard	No. Sites Below Value Standard	% Sites Below Value Standard
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	1	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	2	33%	4	67%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	7	100%
Total	3	21%	11	79%

- 6.33 Of the 14 audited sites less than a quarter (3 sites) meet the proposed value standard. None of the audited Provision for Children and Young People sites currently meet the proposed value standard. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Newbold and Brownsover**

- 6.34 Tables 43 and 44 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 43 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Newbold and Brownsover Ward*

Typology	No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard	% Sites Meeting Quality Standard	No. Sites Below Quality Standard	% Sites Below Quality Standard
Amenity Greenspace	4	50%	4	50%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	8	100%
Parks and Gardens	3	75%	1	25%
Provision for Children and Young People	9	60%	6	40%
Total	16	46%	19	54%

- 6.35 Quality audits were undertaken at 35 sites in the ward, just under half of the sites (46%) achieved the proposed quality standard. 19 sites do not meet the proposed quality standard including all 8 of the Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspaces.



Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 44 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Newbold and Brownsover Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	4	50%	4	50%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	8	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	4	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	1	7%	14	93%
<b>Total</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>51%</b>

- 6.36 Almost half of the audited sites achieved the proposed value standard, including sites in each typology. Each Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace and Parks and Gardens site audited achieved the proposed value standard. 18 sites (51%) do not meet the proposed value, the majority of which are Provision for Children and Young People sites. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

## **Paddox**

- 6.37 Tables 45 and 46 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 45 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Paddox Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	1	100%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	1	50%	1	50%
Parks and Gardens	2	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	3	100%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12%</b>

- 6.38 Quality audits were undertaken at 8 sites in the ward, and most of the sites (7) achieved the proposed quality standard. 1 site, a Natural and Semi-Natural

Greenspace did not meet the proposed standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 46 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Paddox Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	1	100%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	2	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	1	50%	1	50%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	3	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>63%</b>

- 6.39 Value audits were undertaken at 8 sites, 3 of which meet the proposed value standard. 5 sites (63%) fall short of the proposed value standard including the Amenity Greenspace audited, and each of the Provision for Children and Young People sites audited. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Revel and Binley Woods**

- 6.40 Tables 47 and 48 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 47 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Revel and Binley Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	100%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	5	63%	3	37%
Parks and Gardens	4	50%	4	50%
Provision for Children and Young People	4	44%	5	56%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>44%</b>

- 6.41 Quality audits were undertaken at 27 sites in the ward, and just over half (56%) of sites met the proposed quality standard, including both of the Amenity Greenspaces

audited. Across each of the remaining typologies audited there are sites which currently fail to meet the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 48 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Revel and Binley Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	100%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	7	88%	1	13%
Parks and Gardens	7	88%	1	12%
Provision for Children and Young People	7	78%	2	22%
Total	23	85%	4	15%

- 6.42 Value audits were undertaken at 27 sites, the majority of which (85%) meet the proposed value standard. 4 sites do not meet the proposed value standard including 1 Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace, 1 Parks and Gardens site and 2 Provision for Children and Young People sites. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Rokeby and Overslade**

- 6.43 Tables 49 and 50 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 49 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Rokeby and Overslade Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	5	100%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	1	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	4	67%	2	33%
Total	10	83%	2	17%

- 6.44 Quality audits were undertaken at 12 sites in the ward, 10 sites (83%) met the proposed quality standard, including each of the Amenity Greenspaces and Park and Garden. 2 Provision for Children and Young People sites fall short of the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 50 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Rokeby and Overslade Ward*

Typology	No. Sites Meeting Value Standard	% Sites Meeting Value Standard	No. Sites Below Value Standard	% Sites Below Value Standard
Amenity Greenspace	1	20%	4	80%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	0	0%	1	100%
Provision for Children and Young People	0	0%	6	100%
Total	1	8%	11	92%

- 6.45 Value audits were undertaken at 12 sites, the majority of which (92%) do not meet the proposed value standard. Only 1 site, an Amenity Greenspace meets the proposed value standard in the ward. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Wolston and the Lawfords**

- 6.46 Tables 51 and 52 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 51 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Wolston and the Lawfords Ward*

Typology	No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard	% Sites Meeting Quality Standard	No. Sites Below Quality Standard	% Sites Below Quality Standard
Amenity Greenspace	3	100%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	5	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	4	67%	2	33%
Provision for Children and Young People	9	60%	6	40%
Total	21	72%	8	28%

6.47 Quality audits were undertaken at 29 sites in the ward and 21 sites (72%) met the proposed quality standard including each of the Amenity Greenspaces and Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspaces. 2 Parks and Gardens, and 6 Provision for Children and Young People sites fall short of the proposed quality standard. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 52 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Wolston and the Lawfords Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	2	67%	1	33%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	5	100%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	5	83%	1	17%
Provision for Children and Young People	7	47%	8	53%
Total	19	66%	10	34%

6.48 Value audits were undertaken at 29 sites, and over half of the sites (66%) meet the proposed value standard, including each of the Natural and Semi-Natural sites. 10 sites currently fall short of the standard, the majority of which are Provision for Children and Young People sites. Open spaces which do not meet the proposed value standard could be enhanced to increase multi-functionality.

### **Wolvey and Shilton**

6.49 Tables 53 and 54 provide an analysis of the number and percentage of sites by typology meeting the quality and value standard.

*Table 53 Analysis of Quality Standard by Typology in the Wolvey and Shilton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Quality Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Quality Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Quality Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	2	100%	0	0%

Provision for Children and Young People	3	100%	0	0%
Total	5	100%	0	0%

6.50 Quality audits were undertaken at 5 sites in the ward. All sites meet the proposed quality standard, however improvements to sites have been identified. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7, and improvements for each site are provided at Appendix B.

*Table 54 Analysis of Value Standard by Typology in the Wolvey and Shilton Ward*

<b>Typology</b>	<b>No. Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Meeting Value Standard</b>	<b>No. Sites Below Value Standard</b>	<b>% Sites Below Value Standard</b>
Amenity Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	0	0%	0	0%
Parks and Gardens	2	100%	0	0%
Provision for Children and Young People	3	100%	0	0%
Total	5	100%	0	0%

6.51 Value sites audits were undertaken across 5 sites, and all sites meet the proposed value standard, however improvements to sites have been identified. Opportunities to enhance each typology are presented in Chapter 7.



## 7.0 Opportunities

### Quality

- 7.1 The quality audit highlighted that the average quality score of Rugby's open space was 71 which is within the Good quality banding (70-79%).
- 7.2 Auditors identified improvements that could be made to open spaces which would increase their quality score. These have been reviewed to create a list of commonly suggested improvements by typology below.

#### Amenity Greenspace:

- Bench installation;
- Installing lighting or increasing lighting on footpaths;
- Additional litter bins, replacement of litter bins and cleaning of litter bins;
- Improving signage; and
- Litter picking.

#### Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace:

- Bench installation;
- Additional litter bins and dog waste bins;
- Improvements to damaged or eroded footpaths and grass;
- Installing lighting or increasing lighting on footpaths;
- Improving welcome signage, navigational signage, hazard warning signage (e.g. steep drops and deep water); and
- Installing lifesaving equipment near pools and ponds.

#### Parks and Gardens:

- Installing navigational signage;
- Installing lighting or increasing lighting on footpaths;
- Additional litter bins and dog waste bins;
- Installing lifesaving equipment near pools and ponds;
- Maintenance of walkways e.g. removal of weeds, mud etc;
- Maintenance of boundary fencing;
- Maintenance of grass, including reducing bare patches;
- Repurpose unused buildings;
- Improvements to football pitches, including repainting lines, repairing goals; and

- Installing welcome signage and dog control signage.

Provision for Children and Young People:

- General cleansing of play equipment;
- Litter picking;
- Installation of control of dogs signage and play area signage;
- Cleaning of hardstanding, including: removal of moss, sand displaced from sand pits, and weed growth; and
- Removing graffiti.

## Value

- 7.3 The value audit highlighted that the average value score of Rugby's open space was 41 which is just within the Medium value banding (40% to 59%).
- 7.4 The auditors identified improvements that could be made to open spaces which would increase their value score. These have been reviewed to create a list of commonly suggested improvements by typology below.

Amenity Greenspace:

- Introduction of wildflower meadows.

Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace:

- Clearing glades within woodland.

Parks and Gardens:

- Introduction of wildflower meadows; and
- Installation of natural play.

## Options

- 7.5 The quality and value improvements generally fall into two categories:
- Maintenance e.g. litter picking, cleansing; or
  - Capital works e.g. bench and signage installation and creating wildflower meadows.
- 7.6 The following recommendations consider how improvements could be delivered across the Borough's open space.

## Staffing

- 7.7 During consultation, a town park ranger role was discussed. The benefit of this role could be far-reaching, including helping to develop the opportunities in the SWOT analysis in Chapter 4. The role will encourage higher maintenance standards,

engage with existing volunteers and partners and support new volunteer groups and partnerships. A presence across the Borough's open spaces, particularly in anti-social hotspots could deter anti-social behaviour or identify measures to curb such behaviour.

- 7.8 The appointment of a GI champion within the Council could steer improvements to the quality and value of open spaces. The role could drive a vision for open spaces within the Borough by working with the town park ranger, maintenance team and planning team. The GI champion could also consider the in-house skills needed for delivering the vision for open space and increasing awareness of BNG, on the ground issues, opportunities with new developments and the effect of climate change.

### **Biodiversity Net Gain**

- 7.9 The Council could identify open spaces suitable to be receptor sites for off-site BNG. This would result in habitat improvements that could in turn increase the value and multifunctionality of open spaces, as well as securing maintenance in perpetuity<sup>10</sup> for enhanced and created habitats.

### **Signage and Interpretation Strategy**

- 7.10 A common area for improvement across typologies was signage. This included wayfinding and interpretation. To ensure consistency across the Borough and for cost efficiencies it would be prudent to:
- Undertake a signage audit to map signage across the Borough's open space;
  - Produce an over-arching signage and interpretation strategy that includes a design guide;
  - Consider a wayfinding strategy to encourage sustainable walking and cycling routes through a series of linked spaces; and
  - Undertake a procurement exercise to supply the required signage.
- 7.11 Improved signage and interpretation would enable visitors to better orientate themselves within the Borough's open spaces, allowing longer visits and greater education opportunities to understand the natural and built heritage of the Borough's open spaces. The benefits of connecting people with nature fits into the Council's Corporate Strategy for wellbeing.
- 7.12 Residents, schools or voluntary groups could be engaged to assist with the design and interpretation on signage. This may increase awareness of open spaces in the Borough as well as generating buy-in.

---

<sup>10</sup> No less than 30-years for BNG

## **Standards and New Development**

- 7.13 There is a growing emphasis on open space to help tackle climate change, create flood risk resilience, reverse biodiversity decline and provide many health and wellbeing benefits for the immediate and wider community. Furthermore new development is required to provide net gain for biodiversity under the NPPF (paragraph 187) and the Natural Environment PPG.
- 7.14 Funding through planning obligations should be used to improve the quality and value of open space such as Provision for Children and Young People and Parks and Gardens.

## **Local Plan Review and the Planning Obligations SPD**

- 7.15 It is recommended that future iterations of the local plan include policy on the requirement for developers to contribute to the improvement of open space local to proposed developments, especially where there is no requirement for increased provision.
- 7.16 The results of this Quality Assessment indicate which open spaces require improvement and recommendations which should be consulted to secure capital improvement works. The Planning Obligations SPD should clearly outline the process for identifying open space within proximity to new developments, where they fall below the quality standard and the associated financial obligation.

## **Multi-functional Open Space**

- 7.17 Wherever possible, the Council should look to open spaces to deliver multiple functions, including:
- Recreation;
  - Green travel routes;
  - Aesthetic;
  - Shading from the sun;
  - Evaporative cooling;
  - Trapping air pollutants;
  - Noise absorption;
  - Habitat for wildlife;
  - Connectivity for wildlife;
  - Heritage;
  - Cultural;
  - Carbon storage;

- Food production;
- Wind shelter;
- Education;
- Water storage;
- Water infiltration; and
- Water interception.

## 8.0 Conclusion

- 8.1 This Quality Assessment is in line with the NPPF (2024) and PPG for Open Space, which have replaced PPG 17: Planning for Open Space Sport and Recreation (2002) and its Companion Guide, Assessing Needs and Opportunities: A companion guide to PPG 17 (2002). Whilst the Companion Guide to PPG17 has been superseded, the principles and approach within this guidance have not been replaced and remain relevant.
- 8.2 The Quality Audits provide an indication of an open space's quality and value. Whilst the quality of the Borough's open spaces is on average Good, two-thirds of open spaces are either of medium or low value. This indicates that more can be done to increase the function of spaces for people, place and nature.
- 8.3 This Quality Assessment has resulted in recommendations for quality and value standards which are robust. It is recommended that the proposed quality and value standards are adopted by the Council to support the delivery and implementation of Local Plan policies to 2045. The opportunities on how to make improvements to the Borough's open spaces in Chapter 7.0 should be carefully considered and actioned wherever possible to maximise the quality and value of open spaces.

## Drawings

Drawing 1: Rugby Open Spaces (TEP ref: G10811.002.1-17)

Drawing 2: Rugby Open Spaces Quality Audit (TEP ref: G10811.003.1-17)

Drawing 3: Rugby Open Spaces Value Audit (TEP ref: G10811.004.1-17)

Drawing 4: Rugby Indices of Multiple Deprivation and Open Space Quality - National (TEP ref: G10811.007)

Drawing 5: Rugby Indices of Multiple Deprivation and Open Space Value - National (TEP ref: G10811.009)



## Appendix A: Summary Audit Results

x10811.003 Appendix A - Summary Audit Scores  
Rugby Borough Quality Audit  
*Sites in red do not meet the proposed Quality or Value score*

Site Reference	Site Name	Quality Score	Quality Banding	Value Score	Value Banding	Ward
<b>Amenity Greenspace</b>						
	<b>Average</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>Medium</b>	
216	Aqua Place AGS	83	Very Good	39	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
224	Glaramara Close AGS	81	Very Good	29	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
108	Main Street AGS	79	Good	47	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
126	Foxwood Drive AGS	79	Good	51	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
198	Shakespeare Gardens AGS	79	Good	25	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
109	Burnside AGS	78	Good	37	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
136	Southbrook Road AGS	78	Good	44	Medium	Rokeby and Overslade
122	Bluemels Drive AGS	78	Good	58	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
179	Lennon Close AGS	77	Good	19	Low	Hillmorton
42	Turchil Road AGS	76	Good	23	Low	Admirals and Cawston
133	Dewar Grove AGS	75	Good	21	Low	Paddock
146	Betony Road AGS	75	Good	47	Medium	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
52	Dickens Road AGS	75	Good	27	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
236	Priory Road AGS	75	Good	56	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
117	Woodleigh Road AGS	73	Good	30	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
230	Rugby Services AGS	72	Good	39	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
213	Wynne Crescent AGS	72	Good	39	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
120	Pantolf Place AGS	72	Good	46	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
197	Rokeby Playing Field	72	Good	28	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
110	Deepmore Road AGS Large	70	Good	31	Low	Bilton
121	Woodlands AGS	70	Good	23	Low	Bilton
272	Coton Park North AGS	70	Good	60	High	Coton and Boughton
118	Cawston Recreation Ground	70	Good	43	Medium	Admirals and Cawston
212	Barnaby Road AGS	69	Fair	44	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
116	Projects Drive AGS	68	Fair	44	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
201	Nelson Way AGS South	68	Fair	36	Low	Admirals and Cawston
228	Coton Park Central AGS	68	Fair	72	High	Coton and Boughton
205	Cawston West AGS	67	Fair	46	Medium	Admirals and Cawston
220	Thomson Close AGS	66	Fair	30	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
119	Ridge Drive AGS	66	Fair	38	Low	Eastlands
134	Mulberry Road AGS	65	Fair	32	Low	Admirals and Cawston
135	Brooklime Drive AGS	64	Fair	58	Medium	Coton and Boughton
244	Elborow Way AGS	63	Fair	26	Low	Dunsmore
227	Edward Cave AGS	63	Fair	47	Medium	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
37	Brownsover East AGS	63	Fair	58	Medium	Coton and Boughton
142	Spinney Close AGS	59	Fair	31	Low	Coton and Boughton
141	Eden Park AGS	59	Fair	69	High	Coton and Boughton
218	Butlers Leap AGS	48	Poor	45	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover

x10811.003 Appendix A - Summary Audit Scores  
Rugby Borough Quality Audit  
*Sites in red do not meet the proposed Quality or Value score*

Site Reference	Site Name	Quality Score	Quality Banding	Value Score	Value Banding	Ward
<b>Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace</b>						
	<b>Average</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>Medium</b>	
24	Brandon Marsh Nature Reserve	89	Very Good	80	High	Wolston and the Lawfords
206	Hillmorton Lane Natural Space	86	Very Good	26	Low	Hillmorton
29	Draycote Water	86	Very Good	45	Medium	Dunsmore
241	Plott Lane Natural Space	85	Very Good	69	High	Dunsmore
209	Angwin Avenue Natural Walk	82	Very Good	44	Medium	Hillmorton
239	Ryton Woods	79	Good	67	High	Dunsmore
251	Brandon Woods	79	Good	69	High	Wolston and the Lawfords
247	Piles Coppice	77	Good	55	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
26	Cock Robin Wood	77	Good	52	Medium	Bilton
263	Ansty Park Semi-Natural Space North	77	Good	58	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
193	Bluebell Woods	77	Good	50	Medium	Paddock
278	Great Central Walk South	76	Good	36	Low	Eastlands
250	Steetley Meadows	76	Good	69	High	Dunsmore
257	Hill Park Wood	75	Good	49	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
238	Brandon Hall Woodland Walk	75	Good	44	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
249	New Close and Birchley Wood	74	Good	55	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
210	Houlton Moors Lane Semi-Natural Space	74	Good	44	Medium	Hillmorton
138	Whinfield Woods	73	Good	37	Low	Eastlands
30	The Grove	73	Good	56	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
274	Swift Valley Nature Reserve	73	Good	77	High	Coton and Boughton
207	Normandy Link Natural Space	73	Good	46	Medium	Hillmorton
248	Brandon Little Wood	71	Good	59	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
25	Brinklow Castle	70	Good	66	High	Revel and Binley Woods
260	Dog Kennel Spinney	68	Fair	53	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
200	Freemantle Path	68	Fair	35	Low	Admirals and Cawston
262	Ansty Park Semi-Natural Space, Centre	68	Fair	56	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
252	Taskers Meadow	67	Fair	37	Low	Leam Valley
35	Viaduct Nature Walk	65	Fair	63	High	Newbold and Brownsover
258	Little Wood	63	Fair	31	Low	Revel and Binley Woods
225	Crow Thorns Natural Space	63	Fair	62	High	Newbold and Brownsover
279	Eden Park South Semi-Natural Green Space	61	Fair	59	Medium	Coton and Boughton
242	Cawston Greenway/National Cycleway	61	Fair	48	Medium	Dunsmore
32	Newbold Quarry Nature Reserve	61	Fair	73	High	Newbold and Brownsover
223	Brownsover West Natural Space	59	Fair	51	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
219	Butlers Leap Natural Space	59	Fair	59	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
226	Old Canal Path Natural Space	57	Fair	50	Medium	Coton and Boughton
256	Coton Park Pool	57	Fair	52	Medium	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
33	Rugby Diamond Wood	57	Fair	44	Medium	Paddock
36	Great Central Walk North	56	Fair	71	High	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
268	Oxford Canal	56	Fair	71	High	Newbold and Brownsover
243	Cawston Spinney	55	Fair	56	Medium	Dunsmore
221	Avon and Newbold Footpaths	53	Fair	50	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
277	Brownsover East Natural Space	53	Fair	76	High	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
229	Newton Spinney	51	Fair	35	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
232	Coton Spinney	47	Poor	43	Medium	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
246	Far Popehill Spinney	47	Poor	36	Low	Dunsmore
231	Old Canal Spinney	45	Poor	44	Medium	Coton and Boughton
233	Black Spinney	42	Poor	36	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
199	Lawford to Newbold Path	40	Poor	60	High	New Bilton
255	Newbold Lime Works	35	Poor	41	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover

x10811.003 Appendix A - Summary Audit Scores  
Rugby Borough Quality Audit  
*Sites in red do not meet the proposed Quality or Value score*

Site Reference	Site Name	Quality Score	Quality Banding	Value Score	Value Banding	Ward
<b>Parks and Gardens</b>						
	<b>Average</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>Medium</b>	
7	Coombe Abbey Country Park	94	Excellent	97	High	Revel and Binley Woods
10	Fetherston Crescent Recreation Ground	89	Very Good	59	Medium	Dunsmore
22	Ryton Pools	87	Very Good	80	High	Dunsmore
34	Draycote Water Country Park	84	Very Good	55	Medium	Dunsmore
211	Centenary Park	84	Very Good	74	High	Newbold and Brownsover
8	Jubilee Gardens	83	Very Good	45	Medium	Benn
115	Wolvey Recreation Ground	83	Very Good	60	High	Wolvey and Shilton
5	Plott Lane Recreation Ground	83	Very Good	65	High	Dunsmore
139	Fosse Way Recreation Ground	83	Very Good	65	High	Dunsmore
9	The Millennium Green Recreation Ground	82	Very Good	51	Medium	Benn
17	Caldecott Park	82	Very Good	78	High	Benn
48	Brindley Road Park	81	Very Good	21	Low	Hillmorton
194	Ashlawn Recreation Grounds	81	Very Good	30	Low	Paddock
2	Marton Recreation Ground	81	Very Good	39	Low	Leam Valley
195	Whitehall Recreation Ground	81	Very Good	41	Medium	Eastlands
181	Great Park of Houlton	81	Very Good	45	Medium	Hillmorton
1	Dunchurch Playing Field	80	Very Good	25	Low	Dunsmore
13	Hillmorton Recreation Ground	80	Very Good	30	Low	Hillmorton
4	Shilton Recreation Ground	80	Very Good	59	Medium	Wolvey and Shilton
270	Church Lawford Recreation Ground	80	Very Good	60	High	Wolston and the Lawfords
12	GEC Recreation Ground	79	Good	55	Medium	Paddock
11	Avon Mill Recreation Ground	78	Good	55	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
132	Binley Woods Recreation Ground	78	Good	60	High	Revel and Binley Woods
265	Monks Kirby Park	78	Good	69	High	Revel and Binley Woods
123	Bilton Pavillions Park	77	Good	24	Low	New Bilton
106	Rugby Road AGS	77	Good	29	Low	Dunsmore
196	Charolais Gardens Park	77	Good	35	Low	Benn
208	Houlton Recreation Ground	77	Good	39	Low	Hillmorton
202	Cawston Grange Drive Park	76	Good	43	Medium	Admirals and Cawston
20	Assheton Recreation Ground	74	Good	23	Low	Bilton
254	Willoughby Park	74	Good	29	Low	Leam Valley
14	Jubilee Recreation Ground	74	Good	60	High	New Bilton
111	Bawnmore Road Park	73	Good	24	Low	Bilton
112	Willoughby Recreation Ground	73	Good	28	Low	Leam Valley
105	Bretford Recreation Ground	73	Good	44	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
222	Brownsover West Recreation Ground	73	Good	61	High	Newbold and Brownsover
6	Dyer's Lane Recreation Ground	73	Good	55	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
271	Coton Park Park	72	Good	61	High	Coton and Boughton
19	Barr Lane Recreation Ground	72	Good	57	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
23	King George V Playing Field	72	Good	55	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
15	Whinfield Park	71	Good	40	Medium	Eastlands
130	Buchanan Road Park	70	Good	32	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
275	Freemantle Park	69	Fair	36	Low	New Bilton
276	Addison Road Park	69	Fair	38	Low	New Bilton
137	Criss-Cross Park	69	Fair	68	High	Coton and Boughton
128	Withybrook Playing Field	68	Fair	58	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
113	East Union Street Recreation Ground	67	Fair	33	Low	Eastlands
16	Clifton Upon Dunsmore Recreation Ground	67	Fair	56	Medium	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
129	Knox Court Park	66	Fair	31	Low	New Bilton
114	Pailton Recreation Ground	66	Fair	43	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
107	Lawford Heath Recreation Ground	65	Fair	31	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
131	Birdingbury Recreation Ground	64	Fair	22	Low	Leam Valley
3	Alwyn Road Recreation Ground	64	Fair	36	Low	Bilton
215	Edison Drive Park	64	Fair	44	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
21	New Bilton Recreation Ground	64	Fair	59	Medium	New Bilton
204	Ophelia Crescent Park	62	Fair	23	Low	Admirals and Cawston
125	Ansty Recreation Ground	61	Fair	56	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
127	Townsend Lane Park	61	Fair	52	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
124	Frobisher Road Park	60	Fair	14	Low	Admirals and Cawston
245	Dunchurch Recreation Ground	58	Fair	21	Low	Dunsmore
144	Stretton Close Park	55	Fair	52	Medium	Coton and Boughton
267	Harborough Magna Recreation Ground	49	Poor	36	Low	Revel and Binley Woods

x10811.003 Appendix A - Summary Audit Scores  
Rugby Borough Quality Audit  
*Sites in red do not meet the proposed Quality or Value score*

Site Reference	Site Name	Quality Score	Quality Banding	Value Score	Value Banding	Ward
<b>Provision for Children and Young People</b>						
	<b>Average</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>Low</b>	
66	Plott Lane Skatepark, Stretton-on-Dunsmore	94	Excellent	50	Medium	Dunsmore
240	Plott Lane Play Area	91	Excellent	57	Medium	Dunsmore
68	Dyer's Lane Play Area, Wolston	91	Excellent	73	High	Wolston and the Lawfords
83	Foxwood Drive Play Area, Binley Woods	88	Very Good	45	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
85	Fosse Way Play Area, Stretton-on-Dunsmore	88	Very Good	60	High	Dunsmore
75	Bluemels Drive Play Area, Wolston	87	Very Good	46	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
56	Campion Way Play Area	86	Very Good	32	Low	Coton and Boughton
184	William Cree Close Play Area, Wolston	86	Very Good	47	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
191	Binley Woods Play Area	86	Very Good	60	High	Revel and Binley Woods
189	Draycote Water Play Area	85	Very Good	26	Low	Dunsmore
63	Millennium Green Play Area	85	Very Good	39	Low	Benn
53	Glaralara Close Play Area	84	Very Good	36	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
185	Wolston Skatepark, Wolston	84	Very Good	49	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
190	Binley Woods Skatepark	83	Very Good	21	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
154	Centenary Park Play Area	83	Very Good	40	Medium	Newbold and Brownsover
186	Priory Road Play Area	83	Very Good	49	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
73	Wolvey Play Area, Wolvey	83	Very Good	50	Medium	Wolvey and Shilton
180	Lennon Close Play Area	82	Very Good	22	Low	Hillmorton
178	Brindley Road Play Area	82	Very Good	24	Low	Hillmorton
269	Church Lawford Play Area	82	Very Good	55	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
140	Hazel Close Play Area	81	Very Good	32	Low	Coton and Boughton
103	Fetherston Crescent Play Area, Ryton-on-Dunsmore	81	Very Good	36	Low	Dunsmore
54	Heath Way Play Area	81	Very Good	33	Low	Paddock
89	Freemantle MUGA	80	Very Good	18	Low	New Bilton
174	Dickens Road Play Area	80	Very Good	25	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
71	Holly Drive Play Area, Ryton-on-Dunsmore	80	Very Good	46	Medium	Dunsmore
152	Houlton Play Area	80	Very Good	36	Low	Hillmorton
65	Barnacle Play Area	80	Very Good	64	High	Wolvey and Shilton
69	Aspen Road Play Area	79	Good	44	Medium	Coton and Boughton
170	Bilton Pavillions Play Area	79	Good	31	Low	New Bilton
38	Arkwright Play Area	79	Good	26	Low	Dunsmore
49	Brooklime Drive Play Area	78	Good	22	Low	Coton and Boughton
273	Rugby Services Play Area	77	Good	19	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
161	Edward Cave Play Park	77	Good	31	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
55	Southbrook Road Play Area (Old)	77	Good	22	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
40	Marton Play Area	77	Good	27	Low	Leam Valley
80	GEC Recreation Ground Play Area	77	Good	31	Low	Paddock
43	Princethorpe Play Area	77	Good	56	Medium	Dunsmore
47	East Union Street MUGA	76	Good	19	Low	Eastlands
86	Withybrook Play Area, Withybrook	76	Good	55	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
93	Whinfield MUGA	76	Good	27	Low	Eastlands
101	Birdingbury Play Area	76	Good	28	Low	Leam Valley
104	Fetherston Crescent MUGA, Ryton-on-Dunsmore	76	Good	39	Low	Dunsmore
72	Shilton Play Area	76	Good	55	Medium	Wolvey and Shilton
203	Trussell Way Play Area	75	Good	27	Low	Admirals and Cawston
67	Willoughby Play Area	75	Good	19	Low	Leam Valley
91	Featherbed Lane Skatepark	75	Good	26	Low	Hillmorton
81	Thomson Close Play Area	74	Good	24	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
76	Aqua Place Play Area	74	Good	26	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
78	Cave Close Play Area	74	Good	25	Low	Admirals and Cawston
59	Whinfield Play Area	74	Good	26	Low	Eastlands
149	Bawnmore Road Play Area	74	Good	25	Low	Bilton
51	Dewar Grove Play Area	74	Good	29	Low	Paddock
151	Featherbed Lane Play Area	74	Good	28	Low	Hillmorton
45	Pantolf Place Play Area	73	Good	26	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
160	Elborow Way Play Area	73	Good	22	Low	Dunsmore
147	Avon Mill Play Area	73	Good	31	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
158	Ophelia Crescent Play Area	73	Good	19	Low	Admirals and Cawston
177	Turchil Road Play Area	73	Good	22	Low	Admirals and Cawston
175	Southbrook Road MUGA	73	Good	23	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
61	Freemantle Play Area	73	Good	26	Low	Admirals and Cawston
168	Whitehall Skatepark	73	Good	26	Low	Eastlands
167	Whitehall Play Area	73	Good	30	Low	Eastlands
187	Barr Lane Play Area, Brinklow	73	Good	56	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
176	Southbrook Road Play Area (New)	73	Good	29	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
159	Richard Walker Way Play Area	72	Good	24	Low	Dunsmore
163	Parkend Play Area	72	Good	35	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
44	Apple Grove Play Area	72	Good	25	Low	Admirals and Cawston
98	Lawford Heath Play Area	71	Good	22	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
166	Centenary Park MUGA	70	Good	26	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
162	Coton Park Drive Play Area	70	Good	31	Low	Coton and Boughton
88	Addison Road Parkour Park	70	Good	26	Low	New Bilton
148	Barnaby Road Play Area	70	Good	37	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
173	Woodlands Play Area	70	Good	21	Low	Bilton
79	Cawston Grange Drive Play Area	70	Good	26	Low	Admirals and Cawston
182	King George V Play Area	70	Good	34	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
264	Brinklow Skatepark	69	Fair	49	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
155	Buchanan Road Play Area	69	Fair	29	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
77	Avon Mill MUGA	68	Fair	27	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
96	Clifton Upon Dunsmore Play Area	68	Fair	35	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
172	Buchanan Road MUGA	68	Fair	19	Low	Rokeby and Overslade
46	Assheton Play Area	68	Fair	26	Low	Bilton
84	Woodleigh Road Play Area	68	Fair	31	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
237	Clay Pits Bike Track	68	Fair	51	Medium	Wolston and the Lawfords
164	Brownsover Skatepark	67	Fair	28	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
171	Frobisher Road Play Area	67	Fair	19	Low	Admirals and Cawston
64	Alwyn Road Play Area	67	Fair	24	Low	Bilton
82	Ansty Play Area	67	Fair	57	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
165	Clifton Upon Dunsmore Skatepark	66	Fair	24	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
99	Lawford Heath MUGA	66	Fair	22	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
39	Townsend Lane Play Area	66	Fair	26	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
214	Wynne Crescent MUGA	65	Fair	32	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
95	Nelson Way MUGA	64	Fair	17	Low	Admirals and Cawston

x10811.003 Appendix A - Summary Audit Scores  
 Rugby Borough Quality Audit  
 Sites in red do not meet the proposed Quality or Value score

Site Reference	Site Name	Quality Score	Quality Banding	Value Score	Value Banding	Ward
70	Ridge Drive Play Area	64	Fair	22	Low	Eastlands
60	Caldecott Park Play Area South	64	Fair	30	Low	Benn
57	York Street Play Area	64	Fair	30	Low	New Bilton
94	King George V MUGA	64	Fair	36	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
50	Charwelton Drive Play Area	63	Fair	24	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
145	Betony Road Play Area	63	Fair	30	Low	Clifton, Newton and Churchover
102	Coton Park MUGA	63	Fair	29	Low	Coton and Boughton
157	Charolais Gardens Outdoor Gym	63	Fair	22	Low	Benn
90	Caldecott Park MUGA	63	Fair	28	Low	Benn
100	Birdingbury Skatepark and Basketball Court	63	Fair	29	Low	Leam Valley
62	New Bilton Play Area	63	Fair	38	Low	New Bilton
41	Pailton Play Area	62	Fair	31	Low	Revel and Binley Woods
150	Edison Drive Play Area	61	Fair	34	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
153	Projects Drive Play Area	60	Fair	29	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
143	Stretton Close Play Area	58	Fair	25	Low	Coton and Boughton
169	East Union Street Play Area	57	Fair	19	Low	Eastlands
92	Jubilee Street MUGA	57	Fair	30	Low	New Bilton
156	Caldecott Park Play Area North	56	Fair	20	Low	Benn
266	Harborough Magna Play Area	56	Fair	28	Low	Revel and Binley Woods
97	Barr Lane Basketball Hoop, Brinklow	56	Fair	56	Medium	Revel and Binley Woods
58	Knox Court Play Area	54	Fair	25	Low	New Bilton
183	Long Lawford Skatepark	52	Fair	20	Low	Wolston and the Lawfords
217	Butlers Leap BMX Track	51	Fair	29	Low	Newbold and Brownsover
87	Nelson Way Play Area	42	Poor	15	Low	Admirals and Cawston

## Appendix B: Site Improvements



TEP_ID	Site Name	Typology	TEP Ward	Potential Green Flag Site?	General Comments and Improvements
216	Aqua Place AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		Install 'control of dogs' signage on entrance. Install natural play. Introduce wildflower meadows.
224	Glamara Close AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		Introduce a cycleway on the path.
108	Main Street AGS	Amenity greenspace	Revel and Birley Woods		
126	Fenwood Drive AGS	Amenity greenspace	Revel and Birley Woods		
198	Shakespeare Gardens AGS	Amenity greenspace	Rokeby and Overlade		Install a central walkway. Install additional dog waste bins.
109	Burnside AGS	Amenity greenspace	Rokeby and Overlade		Continue to monitor and manage new saplings along the central walkway to ensure establishment.
136	Southbrook Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Rokeby and Overlade		Re-level the centre of the site to reduce the chance of pooling water. Create handstanding paths where desire lines are present. Bins and benches to be cleaned. General litter pick within the site. Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. Introduce micro-habitats within or close to the woodland areas.
122	Blumek Drive AGS	Amenity greenspace	Wolston and the Lawfords		
179	Lennon Close AGS	Amenity greenspace	Hillmorton		
42	Turchil Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Admirals and Cawston		
133	Dewar Grove AGS	Amenity greenspace	Paddock		Replace boundary fences. Bins and benches to be cleaned.
146	Belony Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Introduce wildflower meadows. Bins and benches to be cleaned.
52	Dickens Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Rokeby and Overlade		
236	Priory Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Wolston and the Lawfords		
117	Woodleigh Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Wolston and the Lawfords		General litter pick within the site. Introduce a wildflower meadow. Increase site furniture (specifically benches and bins).
230	Rugby Services AGS	Amenity greenspace	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Install natural play. General litter pick within the site.
213	Wyrne Crescent AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		Install signage on entrance. Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. General litter pick within the site.
120	Parloff Place AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		Introduce a wildflower meadow. General litter pick within the site.
197	Rokeby Playing Field	Amenity greenspace	Rokeby and Overlade		
110	Deepmore Road AGS Large	Amenity greenspace	Bliton		
121	Woodlands AGS	Amenity greenspace	Bliton		Re-paint railings. Cut back understorey and encroaching vegetation. Introduce wildflower meadows. Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. Backfill potholes within the footpaths/access tracks. Install natural play.
272	Coton Park North AGS	Amenity greenspace	Coton and Boughton		Install benches by footpaths. Install signage on entrance. Introduce a wildflower meadow.
118	Cawston Recreation Ground	Amenity greenspace	Admirals and Cawston		Introduce a wildflower meadow.
212	Baraby Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. Install park and 'control of dogs' signage on entrance. Introduce wildflower meadows. General litter pick of waterbodies. Replace damaged bin.
116	Projects Drive AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		General litter pick of shrub areas. Install benches by footpaths. Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. Introduce wildflower meadows. Install signage on entrance.
201	Nelson Way AGS South	Amenity greenspace	Admirals and Cawston		Install car parking facilities. Create handstanding paths where desire lines are present. Increase litter bins and benches. Introduce wildflower meadows.
228	Coton Park Central AGS	Amenity greenspace	Coton and Boughton		Introduce wildflower meadows. Install signage on entrance. Install car parking facilities.
205	Cawston West AGS	Amenity greenspace	Admirals and Cawston		Create handstanding paths where desire lines are present. Increase litter bins and benches. Introduce wildflower meadows.
220	Thomson Close AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		Undertake 'cutting back' or targeted weed control along handstanding edges. Introduce wildflower.
119	Ridge Drive AGS	Amenity greenspace	Eastfands		Introduce wildflower meadows. Install benches within the grassed area of the site. Replace and move bin to a more prominent position.
134	Mulberry Road AGS	Amenity greenspace	Admirals and Cawston		Install benches by footpaths. Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. Install signage on entrance.
135	Brookline Drive AGS	Amenity greenspace	Coton and Boughton		Install benches by footpaths. Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. Install signage on entrance.
244	Elborow Way AGS	Amenity greenspace	Dunsmore		Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark.
227	Edward Cave AGS	Amenity greenspace	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Introduce wildflower meadows. Repair fencing surrounding the waterbody. Install benches and bins.
37	Brownsover East AGS	Amenity greenspace	Coton and Boughton		Increase lighting along paths, to allow access in the dark. Install benches along the paths. Replace bins currently in a poor condition. Install directional signage.
142	Spinney Close AGS	Amenity greenspace	Coton and Boughton		Undertake 'cutting back' or targeted weed control along handstanding edges. Introduce wildflower meadows. Install natural play.
141	Eden Park AGS	Amenity greenspace	Coton and Boughton	Potential Green Flag site	Install benches. Install lighting along footpath. Install entrance and directional signage around the site and the waterbodies. Consider installing lifesaving equipment.
218	Buffers Leap AGS	Amenity greenspace	Newbold and Brownsover		Introduce wildflower meadows. Install site furniture (specifically benches and bins). Improve access for disabled people. Install park and 'control of dogs' signage on entrance.
24	Brandon Marsh Nature Reserve	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolston and the Lawfords	Potential Green Flag site	Install directional signage.
206	Hillmorton Lane Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Hillmorton		Potentially viable as a nature area. Reduce access for visitors.
29	Draycote Water	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Dunsmore	Potential Green Flag site	Site furniture is only located in one are of the site, therefore re-locating site furniture and spreading out is required.
241	Plot Lane Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Dunsmore		Install directional and entrance signage.
209	Angwin Avenue Natural Walk	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Hillmorton		Inspect and replace new fencing around the vicinity of the site.
239	Ryton Woods	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Dunsmore		Install directional and entrance signage.
251	Brandon Woods	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolston and the Lawfords		Undertake graffiti removal of some of the signs. Opening up the pond areas would reduce stagnant ponds. Increase cycle parking. Increase site furniture (specifically benches, general waste and dog-waste bins). Provide a life ring and lifebuoy. Glades should be created within the site, to make it more accessible and safe.
247	Piles Coppice	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods		Install welcome and directional signage (it was quite difficult to distinguish from the site next door). Install site furniture (specifically bins and benches). Undertake a litter bins would also reduce litter. General litter pick within the site.
26	Cock Robin Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Bliton		
263	Ansty Park Semi-Natural Space North	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods		Install park and 'control of dogs' signage on entrance.
193	Bluebell Woods	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Paddock		Inspect and maintain paths located on steep banks, run-off has caused some of the paths to collapse.
278	Great Central Walk South	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Eastfands		Undertake graffiti removal on the bridge supports.
250	Steeley Meadows	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Dunsmore		Install directional signage. Move welcome signage to be more open and obvious. Install site furniture (specifically bins and benches).
257	Hill Park Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods		Clear areas of the woodland for open canopy to let light into the floor to increase growth. Install welcome and directional signage. Install site furniture (specifically bins and benches).
238	Brandon Hall Woodland Walk	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolston and the Lawfords		Glades should be created within the site especially within the woodland.
249	New Close and Birchley Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods		Glades should be created within the site especially within the woodland. Install directional features. Install dog waste bins. Seats or benches could be created from wood.
210	Houlton Moors Lane Semi-Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Hillmorton		
138	Whitfield Woods	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Eastfands		Inspect and maintain paths located on steep banks, run-off has caused some of the paths to collapse. Cleanse signage.
30	The Grove	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolston and the Lawfords		Create micro-habitats within the woodland.
274	Swift Valley Nature Reserve	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Coton and Boughton	Potential Green Flag site	Install lighting along footpaths. Cleanse signage.
207	Normandy Link Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Hillmorton		
248	Brandon Little Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolston and the Lawfords		Glades should be created within the site especially within the woodland. Install directional features. Install dog waste bins. Seats or benches could be created from wood. Cut back and maintain rhododendron.
25	Brinklow Castle	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods	Potential Green Flag site	Re-seed grass where bare ground is showing. Provide life ring near pond area. Install some herbaceous signage.
260	Dog Kennel Spinney	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods		Undertake 'cutting back' or targeted weed control along handstanding edges. Thinning woodland. Install entrance signage. Install hazard signage along the road.
200	Fremantle Path	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Admirals and Cawston		Install additional general waste bins closer to the woodland entrance. Install lighting along footpaths, to allow access in the dark. Install site furniture (specifically general waste bins).
262	Ansty Park Semi-Natural Space, Central	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods		Undertake some general site cleansing especially near the bridges and signage. Install entrance signage and a orientation map. Additional site furniture (specifically benches).
252	Taskers Meadow	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Leam Valley		
35	Vaduct Nature Walk	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		Undertake some general site cleansing (specifically moss and weed control). Install a gateway within the paths. Undertake graffiti removal. Install directional signage.
258	Little Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Birley Woods	Existing Green Flag site	Install handstanding footpaths throughout the site. Look at ways to increase parking ability. Install welcome signage. Install site furniture (specifically benches).
225	Crow Thoms Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		General litter pick of the site. Inspectors to look at the condition of the site (surveyor spotted a rough sleeper). Install lighting on the footpaths to allow access in the dark. Cleanse and upgrade site furniture (specifically benches). Upgrade footpath to handstanding to allow access for buggies and the disabled. Install site furniture (specifically benches).
279	Eden Park South Semi-Natural Green	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Coton and Boughton		Install lighting on the footpaths to allow access in the dark.
242	Cawston Greenway/National Cycleway	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Dunsmore		Install lighting on the footpaths to allow access in the dark.

TEP_ID	Site Name	Typology	TEP Ward	Potential Green Flag Site?	General Comments and Improvements
32	Newbold Quarry Nature Reserve	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		Consideration to lighting on footpaths. Install sleep drop signage. Install benches. Install more bins along paths. Install littering.
223	Brownsover West Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		General litter pick within vegetated areas.
219	Bullers Leap Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		Signage for the fast flowing and deep waterbody. Install signage and a map of the footpath route. Install seating.
226	Old Canal Path Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Colton and Boughton		Install directional signage. Install littering equipment. Install lighting on footpaths. Install benches. Cut back vegetation obscuring warning signage.
256	Colton Park Pool	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Install handstanding footpaths throughout the site.
33	Rugby Diamond Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Paddox		Install seats along footpath. Remove waste to the rear of the adjacent properties rear fencing. Consider installing lighting on paths. Installation of natural play adjacent to the path. Install dog control signage. Install directional signage.
36	Great Central Walk North	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Repair benches. Install signage about deep water. Cut back encroaching vegetation. Consider a signed walking route.
268	Oxford Canal	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		Install dog waste bins on all entrances (currently stacked in piles).
243	Cawston Spinney	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Dunsmore		Clear encroaching vegetation from footpath. Remove waste to rear of properties. Install signage about deep water and fast flowing water at all entrances. Install a welcome sign and map of walking route. Install littering equipment.
221	Avon and Newbold Footpaths	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		Improve access for the disabled and buggies. Install welcome and directional signage. Install walking route signage. Install benches. Install lighting along footpaths. Install life rings next to watercourses.
277	Brownsover East Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Introduce site furniture (specifically benches and bins). Install signage.
229	Newton Spinney	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Remove disused fencing and gate.
232	Colton Spinney	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Install signage.
246	Far Popehill Spinney	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Dunsmore		Install signage. Cut back encroaching vegetation onto footpath. Install additional site furniture (specifically benches and bins). Replace existing benches.
231	Old Canal Spinney	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Colton and Boughton		Install site and signage. Cut back some of the undergrowth to allow access. Cut back encroaching vegetation from footpath. Improve public footpath. Install control of dogs signage. Install signage adjacent to waterbodies and drops. Plant tree saplings and introduce wildflower meadow. Remove fencing. Improve footpaths to encourage access. Implement signage.
233	Black Spinney	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Install life rings near the water edgeline. Install more dog waste bins. The river edge could be cleared from vegetation to enhance the view. Grass edging along paths could be cut back to limit encroachment.
199	Lawford to Newbold Path	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	New Bilton		Weed control along natural path. Install lighting across all footpaths. General litter pick of the site including the boundary vegetation. Introduce wildflower meadows. Install lighting to footpath. Re-paint football posts. Install new bins. Re-paint or clean the outside of the general waste bins.
255	Newbold Lime Works	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Newbold and Brownsover		Weed control along natural path. Cleans signs.
259	Town Thoms Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Binley Woods		Install welcome map of the space. Install cycle parking. Install lighting between the houses and the site. Introduce wildflower meadows along boundaries. Graffiti removal.
234	Chapel Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolston and the Lawfords		Introduce wildflower meadows. Install a directional map at entrances. Increase lighting on boundary footpaths. Implement natural play equipment. Use of dead wood from the trees as play equipment or for visual pleasure.
261	Hobeley Furze & Cotton Furze	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Revel and Binley Woods		
235	All Oaks Wood	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolston and the Lawfords		
27	Sainsbury's Dunchurch Road Semi-Natural	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Bilton		
28	Crick Road Semi-Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Hillmorton		
31	Wolvey Wetlands	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Wolvey and Shilton		
192	West Coast Lane Semi-Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Hillmorton		
253	Leamington Hastings Natural Space	Natural and semi-natural greenspaces	Leam Valley		
7	Coombe Abbey Country Park	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods	Existing Green Flag site	Install additional general waste bins.
10	Fallenston Crescent Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore		
22	Ryton Pools	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore	Potential Green Flag site	
34	Drawycote Water Country Park	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore	Potential Green Flag site	
211	Centenary Park	Parks and gardens	Newbold and Brownsover		
8	Jubilee Gardens	Parks and gardens	Benn		
115	Wolvey Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Wolvey and Shilton		
5	Plot Lane Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore		
139	Fosse Way Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore		
9	The Millennium Green Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Benn		
17	Caldecott Park	Parks and gardens	Benn	Existing Green Flag site	
48	Brindley Road Park	Parks and gardens	Hillmorton		
194	Ashwan Recreation Grounds	Parks and gardens	Paddox		
2	Marton Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Leam Valley		
195	Whitehall Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Eastfands	Potential Green Flag site	
161	Great Park of Houlton	Parks and gardens	Hillmorton		
1	Dunchurch Playing Field	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore		
13	Hillmorton Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Hillmorton		
4	Shilton Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Wolvey and Shilton		
270	Church Lawford Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Wolston and the Lawfords		
12	GEC Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Paddox	Potential Green Flag site	
11	Avon Mill Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Newbold and Brownsover		
132	Binley Woods Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods	Potential Green Flag site	Introduce wildflower meadow. Weed control in car park. Repaint green benches along northern boundary. Install additional bins. Sward height of grass could be varied throughout the site. Create and encourage areas of wildflower areas. Cafe was present on the site, only knew from Google Maps, therefore signage of the cafe would benefit the space.
265	Monks Kirby Park	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods	Potential Green Flag site	Install life rings near the waterledge.
123	Bilton Pavilions Park	Parks and gardens	New Bilton		
106	Rugby Road AGS	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore		
196	Charcolis Gardens Park	Parks and gardens	Benn		Install park signage.
208	Houlton Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Hillmorton		Footpaths were frozen over at time of visit - possible issues with drainage.
202	Cawston Grange Drive Park	Parks and gardens	Admins and Cawston		
20	Asheton Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Bilton		
254	Willoughby Park	Parks and gardens	Leam Valley		Potentially install additional litter bins.
14	Jubilee Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	New Bilton		Install lighting to footpaths. Introduce some wildflower meadows.
111	Bawmore Road Park	Parks and gardens	Bilton		
112	Willoughby Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Leam Valley		
105	Bretford Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Wolston and the Lawfords		Allow fencing open to park all the time. Parking not available as locked. Access is variable on foot. Grass edges could be maintained. Install additional site furniture (specifically benches and bins). Install park signage and control of dogs.
222	Brownsover West Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Newbold and Brownsover		Weed control to footpath.
6	Dyer's Lane Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Wolston and the Lawfords		Implement some additional plating along the edge of the site to reduce the hard boundary between the edge of the site and the fencing. Install some ground protection or reseeded within the entrance areas.
271	Colton Park	Parks and gardens	Colton and Boughton		Introduce wildflower areas. Implement natural play. Install lighting along the handstanding pathways. Install seating by the paths. Install additional dog waste bins. Re-paint football pitch. Install site furniture (specifically benches/seats).
19	Bar Lane Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods		
23	King George V Playing Field	Parks and gardens	Wolston and the Lawfords		
15	Whitfield Park	Parks and gardens	Eastfands	Potential Green Flag site	
130	Buchanan Road Park	Parks and gardens	Rokeby and Overlade		
275	Freemantle Park	Parks and gardens	New Bilton		Implement lighting would be beneficial as this site appears to be a through-route for pedestrians. Some signs could benefit from being cleaned.
276	Adder Road Park	Parks and gardens	New Bilton		Install park signage.
137	Criss-Cross Park	Parks and gardens	Colton and Boughton		Introduce wildflower meadows. Repaint existing benches.
128	Withybrook Playing Field	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods		
113	East Union Street Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Eastfands		Remove graffiti.
16	Clifton Upon Dunsmore Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Introduce wildflower areas. Implement gates to the site. Install welcome sign/map.
129	Knox Court Park	Parks and gardens	New Bilton		
114	Pailton Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods		Introduce wildflower areas. Implement new fence/gates. Install welcome sign/map. Install additional site fur native (specifically bins and benches).
107	Lawford Heath Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Wolston and the Lawfords		Inspect boundary fence and repair where necessary.

TEP_ID	Site Name	Typology	TEP Ward	Potential of Green Flag Site?	General Comments and Improvements
131	Birdingsbury Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Leam Valley		Remove scrub area in the centre of the playing field.
3	Aleyn Road Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Bilton		Building appears unused. Grass is deteriorating in some areas, particularly around the car park, re-seed required.
215	Edison Drive Park	Parks and gardens	Newbold and Brownsower		Install lighting on the footpaths. Implement wildflower meadow areas. Install signage. General litter pick.
21	New Bilton Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	New Bilton		Install lighting on the footpaths. Repair seating near shelter. Install control of dogs signage. Introduce some natural play.
204	Ophelia Crescent Park	Parks and gardens	Admirals and Cawston		Grass is deteriorating in some areas, re-seed required.
125	Ansty Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods		Football pitch to be re-matted. Install welcome signage. Install entrance signage.
127	Townsend Lane Park	Parks and gardens	Wolston and the Lawfords		Install lighting on the footpaths. Repair seating near shelter. Install control of dogs signage. Introduce some natural play. Install more site furniture (specifically bins and benches)
124	Frishaler Road Park	Parks and gardens	Admirals and Cawston		Knee rails could benefit from being rearticled.
245	Dunchurch Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Dunsmore		
144	Stretton Close Park	Parks and gardens	Colton and Boughton		Park footpath has not been finished. Install signage. Install lighting on footpath. Introduce wildflower meadows.
267	Harborough Magna Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Revel and Binley Woods		Introduce wildflower meadow. Install control of dogs and entrance signage. Install site furniture (specifically benches). Manage overhanging branches on boundary trees.
18	Warwick Road Recreation Ground	Parks and gardens	Wolston and the Lawfords		
66	Plot Lane Skatepark, Stretton-on-Dunsmore	Provision for children and young people	Dunsmore		
240	Plot Lane Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Dunsmore		General cleanse of play equipment.
68	Dyer's Lane Play Area, Wolston	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		
83	Foxwood Drive Play Area, Binley Woods	Provision for children and young people	Revel and Binley Woods		General cleanse of play equipment.
85	Fosse Way Play Area, Stretton-on-Dunsmore	Provision for children and young people	Dunsmore		General cleanse of play equipment.
75	Bluemels Drive Play Area, Wolston	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		General cleanse of play equipment.
56	Campion Way Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Colton and Boughton		
184	William Cree Close Play Area, Wolston	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		
101	Binley Woods Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Revel and Binley Woods		
189	Draycote Water Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Dunsmore		
63	Millennium Green Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Benn		
53	Glaramara Close Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Newbold and Brownsower		Repaint railings. Install control of dogs signage.
185	Wolston Skatepark, Wolston	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		General cleanse of the site. Repair/replace benches.
190	Binley Woods Skatepark	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		
154	Centenary Park Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Newbold and Brownsower	Existing Green Flag site	Undertake general weed control within the play area.
186	Priory Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		
73	Wolvey Play Area, Wolvey	Provision for children and young people	Wolvey and Shilton		General cleanse of the play area. Repair/re-spray bins.
180	Lennon Close Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Hillmorton		
178	Brindley Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Hillmorton		
269	Church Lawford Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		Implement welcome signage.
140	Hazel Close Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Colton and Boughton		
103	Fetherston Crescent Play Area, Ryton-on-Dunsmore	Provision for children and young people	Dunsmore		General cleanse required.
54	Heath Way Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Paddock		
89	Freemantle MUGA	Provision for children and young people	New Bilton		
174	Dickens Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Rokeby and Overlade		
71	Holly Drive Play Area, Ryton-on-Dunsmore	Provision for children and young people	Dunsmore		General cleanse required.
152	Houlton Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Hillmorton		
65	Barnacle Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Wolvey and Shilton		General cleanse of all equipment.

TEP_ID	Site Name	Typology	TEP Ward	Potential of Green Flag Site?	General Comments and Improvements
69	Aspen Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Colton and Boughton		
170	Bilton Pavilions Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	New Bilton		
38	Adknight Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Dunsmore		
49	Brooklime Drive Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Colton and Boughton		
273	Rugby Services Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Repair play equipment. Install new control of dogs signage. Consider disability friendly equipment and natural play. Install bench within play area. Install signage on the control of dogs.
161	Edward Cave Play Park	Provision for children and young people.	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Install play area signage. Install control of dogs signage.
55	Southbrook Road Play Area (Old)	Provision for children and young people.	Rokeby and Overlade		Implement a wildflower meadow (unless play areas of the site are separated).
40	Marlon Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Leam Valley		Install general bins.
80	GEC Recreation Ground Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Paddock		
43	Pincothorpe Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Dunsmore		Grass cutting required up to the edges of the playing field. General cleanse of play equipment required. Create micro habitats from maintenance operations this would also provide educational benefit for children. Install a new football net, the current is strewn across the grass. Litter pick required.
47	East Union Street MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Eastfands		
86	Withybrook Play Area, Withybrook	Provision for children and young people.	Revet and Birley Woods		General cleanse of play area.
93	Whitfield MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Eastfands		
101	Birdingbury Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Leam Valley		
104	Felthornton Crescent MUGA, Ryton-on-	Provision for children and young people.	Dunsmore		Cut back the vegetation growing through the fencing. General cleanse of MUGA flooring. Repair MUGA floor.
72	Shilton Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Wolvey and Shilton		Replace fence.
203	Trussell Way Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		
67	Willoughby Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Leam Valley		
91	Featherbed Lane Skatepark	Provision for children and young people.	Hillmorton		
81	Thomson Close Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Undertake a cleanse of play area equipment. Inc. de-crusting metal. Undertake general weed control within the play area.
76	Aqua Place Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Undertake general weed control within the play area. Introduce some disabled friendly play equipment.
78	Cave Close Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		Undertake general weed control within the play area.
59	Whitfield Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Eastfands		
149	Bawmore Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Bilton		Repair play area swing - missing.
51	Dewar Grove Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Paddock		
151	Featherbed Lane Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Hillmorton		
45	Pantoff Place Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		General cleanse of surfacing and equipment. Undertake weed control. Install some disabled friendly and natural play equipment. Install control of dogs signage.
160	Elborow Way Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Dunsmore		Repair litter bin. Repair gate to play area - gate does not close correctly.
147	Avon Mill Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Install control of dogs signage specifically near play area.
158	Ophelia Crescent Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		
177	Turchill Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		
175	Southbrook Road MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Rokeby and Overlade		Install signage. Install seating/benches.
61	Freemantle Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		
168	Whitehall Skatepark	Provision for children and young people.	Eastfands		
167	Whitehall Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Eastfands		
187	Barr Lane Play Area, Brinklow	Provision for children and young people.	Revet and Birley Woods		General cleanse of all play equipment. Install ground protection to reduce muddy areas.
176	Southbrook Road Play Area (New)	Provision for children and young people.	Rokeby and Overlade		Install lighting along paths. Install signage.
159	Richard Walker Way Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Dunsmore		Undertake general weed control within the play area.
163	Parland Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Install control of dogs signage.
44	Apple Grove Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		Re-paint play equipment.
98	Lawford Heath Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Wolston and the Lawfords		
166	Centenary Park MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover	Existing Green Flag site	Undertake weed control.
162	Colton Park Drive Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Colton and Boughton		Undertake general weed control. Install play area signage.
88	Addison Road Parkour Park	Provision for children and young people.	New Bilton		Install some lighting along footpaths.
148	Barnaby Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Install play area signage. Install disability friendly equipment. Install control of dogs signage.
173	Woodlands Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Bilton		
79	Cawston Grange Drive Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		
182	King George V Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Wolston and the Lawfords		Introduce some natural play. General litter pick regularly.
264	Brinklow Skatepark	Provision for children and young people.	Revet and Birley Woods		General site cleanse.
155	Buchanan Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Rokeby and Overlade		
77	Avon Mill MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		
96	Clifton Upon Dunsmore Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		Install control of dogs signage. Install cast entrance signage.
172	Buchanan Road MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Rokeby and Overlade		Install site furniture (specifically seating).
46	Asheton Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Bilton		
84	Woodleigh Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Wolston and the Lawfords		Introduce some natural play. Introduce wildflower meadow on boundary. Introduce saplings and more hedges/grows. Site was extremely difficult to locate, could only find site through using Google Maps, maybe potentially with implementing directional signage/promotional signage in the village. Install a bike storage area. Install site furniture (specifically bins). Install seating. Implement directional signage within the site.
237	Clay Pits Bike Track	Provision for children and young people.	Wolston and the Lawfords		
164	Brownsover Skatepark	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		
171	Frobisher Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		Inspection of the play area to ensure, damaged equipment is repaired.
64	Alwyn Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Bilton		
82	Ansty Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Revet and Birley Woods		General cleanse all over of all play equipment. Install entrance signage.
165	Clifton Upon Dunsmore Skatepark	Provision for children and young people.	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		
99	Lawford Heath MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Wolston and the Lawfords		
39	Townsend Lane Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Wolston and the Lawfords		Replace entrance signage. Repaint railings.
214	Wynne Crescent MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Undertake a site wide litter pick.
95	Nelson Way MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Admirals and Cawston		
70	Ridge Drive Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Eastfands		Undertaken weed control and cutting-back of surfacing and play equipment. Install signage on the control of dogs within close proximity to the play areas.
60	Caldecott Park Play Area South	Provision for children and young people.	Benn		Install signage on the control of dogs. Litter pick under square trampolines. Replace rocker in play area. Remove graffiti from benches. Clean entrance railings.
57	York Street Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	New Bilton		Markings on the track needs repainting. Cut-back overgrown moss/vegetation along handstanding paths. Inspect and repair/realign/reduce the play equipment. Improve control of dogs signage. Inspect and repair the play equipment. Install natural play. Introduce wildflower areas.
94	King George V MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Wolston and the Lawfords		
50	Charwellton Drive Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		
145	Belony Road Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Clifton, Newton and Churchover		
102	Colton Park MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Colton and Boughton		
157	Charolais Gardens Outdoor Gym	Provision for children and young people.	Benn		Install signage on the control of dogs.
90	Caldecott Park MUGA	Provision for children and young people.	Benn		
100	Birdingbury Skatepark and Basketball	Provision for children and young people.	Leam Valley		Cut-back vegetation on handstanding surfaces.
62	New Bilton Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	New Bilton		Install of play area signage. Upgrade play area equipment. Improve control of dogs signage.
41	Pailton Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Revet and Birley Woods		Undertake a general clean required. Inspect and repair surfacing where required. Install entrance signage and age limit near the play area. Install site furniture such as benches and seats.
150	Edison Drive Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Install entrance signage. Install 'dog-control' signage.
153	Projects Drive Play Area	Provision for children and young people.	Newbold and Brownsover		Undertake weed control on the safety surfacing. Inspect the concrete on timber sleeping stones.

TEP_ID	Site Name	Typology	TEP Ward	Potential Green Flag Site?	General Comments and Improvements
143	Stretton Close Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Colton and Boughton		<p>Clones should be created within the site to make it more accessible and safe.</p> <p>Install welcome and directional signage (it was quite difficult to distinguish from the site next door).</p> <p>Install site furniture (specifically bins and benches).</p> <p>Undertake a litter pick within the site.</p> <p>General litter pick within the site.</p>
169	East Union Street Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Eastfands		<p>General litter pick of the site.</p> <p>Inspect children's sand pit, look at ways in which to reduce the spread of sand.</p>
92	Jubilee Street MUGA	Provision for children and young people	New Bilton		
156	Caldecott Park Play Area North	Provision for children and young people	Benn		<p>Install a 'dog control' sign next to the play areas.</p>
206	Harborough Magna Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Revel and Birley Woods		<p>Clearse signage and repair where necessary.</p> <p>Replace timber gate.</p> <p>Graffiti removal.</p> <p>Introduce disability friendly play equipment - where possible.</p> <p>Re-paint metal railings.</p>
97	Bar Lane Basketball Hoop, Birnkilow	Provision for children and young people	Revel and Birley Woods		<p>Install 'dog-control' signage.</p> <p>Install additional signage near basketball court.</p>
58	Knox Court Play Area	Provision for children and young people	New Bilton		<p>Install site furniture (specifically bins, benches and directional signage).</p>
183	Long Lawford Skatepark	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		<p>General litter pick within the site.</p> <p>Install new pathways within the site.</p> <p>Graffiti removal.</p>
217	Bullers Leap BMX Track	Provision for children and young people	Newbold and Brownsover		<p>Install entrance signage.</p>
87	Nelson Way Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Admirals and Cawston		<p>General litter pick within the site.</p> <p>Install new pathways within the site.</p>
188	Monks Kirby Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Revel and Birley Woods		
74	Bretford Play Area	Provision for children and young people	Wolston and the Lawfords		

TEP_ID	Site Name	Typology	TEP Ward	Potential Green Flag Site?	General Comments and Improvements
--------	-----------	----------	----------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------

**WARRINGTON**

401 Faraday Street  
Birchwood Park  
Warrington  
WA3 6GA

T: 01925 844004  
E: [tep@tep.uk.com](mailto:tep@tep.uk.com)

**MARKET  
HARBOROUGH**

The Reynard Suite  
Bowden Business Village  
Market Harborough  
Leicestershire  
LE16 7SA

T: 01858 383120  
E: [mh@tep.uk.com](mailto:mh@tep.uk.com)

**GATESHEAD**

Office 26 Gateshead  
International Business  
Centre  
Mulgrave Terrace  
Gateshead  
NE8 1AN

T: 0191 6053340  
E: [gateshead@tep.uk.com](mailto:gateshead@tep.uk.com)

**LONDON**

8 Trinity Street  
London  
SE1 1DB

T: 020 3096 6050  
E: [london@tep.uk.com](mailto:london@tep.uk.com)

**CORNWALL**

Nr Falmouth  
Cornwall

T: 01326 240081  
E: [cornwall@tep.uk.com](mailto:cornwall@tep.uk.com)